# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FREE SPEECH COALITION, INC., et al.,	)	Case No. 09-CV-4607
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
VS.	)	
THE HONORABLE ERIC HOLDER, JR.,	)	
in his Official Capacity as	)	Philadelphia, PA
Attorney General of the United	)	June 17, 2013
States, et al.,	)	9:16 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL
BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL M. BAYLSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For the Plaintiffs: J. MICHAEL MURRAY, ESQUIRE

LORRAINE R. BAUMGARDNER, ESQUIRE BERKMAN, GORDON, MURRAY & DEVAN 55 Public Square - Suite 2200 Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1949

For the Defendant: KATHRYN WYER, ESQUIRE

HECTOR G. BLADUELL, ESQUIRE JAMES J. SCHWARTZ, ESQUIRE

NATHAN MICHAEL SWINTON, ESQUIRE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 20 Massachusetts Avenue Washington, D.C. 20530

Audio Operator: NELSON MALAVE

Transcriber: DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING

P.O. Box 129

Gibbsboro, NJ 08026 Phone: 856-435-717 Fax: 856-435-7124

Email: Dianadoman@comcast.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

	Case 2:09-cv-04607-MMB	Document 22	7 Filed 07/	17/13 Page 2	of 177			
						2		
1	<u>I N D E X</u>							
2	<u>WITNESS</u>	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS			
3	FOR THE GOVERNMENT	i -						
4	Dr. Biro	14 (Bla)	44 (Mur)	) 62(Bla)	69(Mur)	1		
5	Voir Dire	3(Bla)						
6	Dr. Stark	77(Swi)	96 (Mur)	) 113(Swi)	115 (Mur)	1		
7	Voir Dire	71(Swi)						
8	CLOSING STATEMENTS		<u>P</u>	PAGE				
9	Mr. Murray				123			
10	Ms. Wyer				149			
11	<u>EXHIBITS</u> :				ID. EV	IDENCE		

All Exhibits used in open Court

BY MR. BLADUELL:

- 22 Q Good morning, Dr. Biro.
- 23 A Good morning.
- 24 Q Dr. Biro, could you tell us where do you work?
- 25 A I work at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center.

Biro - Voir Dire

Q And can you briefly describe your educational background?

A Undergraduate was at Drexel, medical school was at Harvard Medical. I completed a combined residency in internal medicine and pediatrics at the University of Rochester. And I

did a fellowship in adolescent medicine at Boston Children's.

THE COURT: What kind of medicine?

THE WITNESS: Adolescent medicine, sir.

#### BY MR. BLADUELL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q And are you Board Certified?
- A I am Board Certified in internal medicine, in pediatrics and in adolescent medicine.
- Q And what is your position at the University of Cincinnati
  Children's Hospital?
  - A So at the Children's Hospital, I'm the director of adolescent medicine. And at the University of Cincinnati I'm a professor of pediatrics.
  - Q Okay. And how long have you been the director of the Division of Adolescent Medicine?
    - A On and off, approximately 10 years. In the past -- in the immediate past, six to seven years.
- Q How long have you been a professor at the University of Cincinnati?
- A I believe I've been a professor probably 16 or 18 years.
- I'd have to look at my CV to be exact.
  - Q Well let's go -- it's Exhibit Number 173. Attachment A.

three in the country in the residency program. It's also

Okay. And what would you consider your areas of

ranked number three in the country.

22

23

24

25

expertise?

A My areas of expertise are, of course, in adolescent medicine, but within the field of adolescent medicine specifically puberty and sexually transmitted infections.

Q Okay. And can you describe what puberty is, pubertal maturation?

A Pubertal maturation is a series of events that include the development of secondary sexual characteristics, that is breast development, and pubic hair development, but it's also the maturation of the brain, it's the changes in body composition. Going through the pubertal growth spurt and maturation of various organs in the body.

THE COURT: And that's what you call puberty itself?

THE WITNESS: Puberty itself, most people say that
the onset of puberty is the development of secondary sexual
characteristics. But before that there are changes in the
brain that bring about these changes.

THE COURT: You call that prepuberty? What do you call it?

THE WITNESS: I think that I would go with puberty being once there's the manifestations of secondary sexual characteristics. So breast development or public hair development.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

Q And what is the relationship, you know, pubertal

maturation and chronologic age?

A There's a range in chronologic ages of the different pubertal events. The onset of puberty in boys and girls are defined, but the different stages within pubertal maturation there's also standard textbooks that provide ages for those ranges.

- Q Okay. As part of pubertal maturation do you use something called a Tanner Scale?
- A It had been called for decades the Tanner Scale. We now call them sexual maturity ratings, but, yes. And those are stages in the development of the sexual characteristics, secondary sexual characteristics.

For example, breast stage one and pubic hair stage one are prepubertal. Breast stage five, public hair stage five are late pubertal, at the adult range.

- Q And over the years has the Tanner Scale been revised?
- A I and several other groups of investigators have recommended various modifications. And so that one of the papers that I had published suggested that before the appearance of pubic hair in boys there is an increase in testicular volume, and that's now relegated as the initial onset of pubertal maturation.
- Q As part of your duties at the Division of Adolescent Medicine, do you see patients?
- A Yes, I do.

Q And can you describe briefly what interactions you have with patients?

A I see patients, both inpatient setting, that is, those who have been admitted to the hospital, and I also see patients in the outpatient setting. Typically in a unit we call the Teen Health Center.

I provide primary care in the Teen Health Center.

Most of the visits would be around annual physicals or sports physicals, or issues around reproductive health, such as sexually transmitted infections or contraception.

- Q Okay. And what are the age ranges in general of your patients?
- A Although most of my patients are within the ages of 12 to 20 or 21, I've seen patients as young as nine or ten, and I've gone up to around age 25 or a little bit older.
- Q Have you done research in the area of pubertal maturation?
- 18 A Yes.

- Q Can you briefly describe the research that you have done in this area?
  - A So among other activities of research, I've followed three large longitudinal data sets. One in boys and two in girls, where we recruited these, at the time, children, followed them either every six months or every 12 months. In one of the studies for three or three and a half years. In

the second study for ten plus years. And in the current study, that's ongoing, eight plus years. And it's ongoing, so there's -- we continue to see them beyond eight years.

Q Okay. Now have you been able to, in some of these studies, draw any conclusions?

A So in the boys' study, one was that we had this extra pubertal stage, if you would, that had not been described. And we also in that study noted that there was uncertainty around genital staging in boys.

In fact, we discontinued doing genital staging because it was so unreliable. In the -- both of the girls' study, in the first girls' study we reported that approximately one-half of the girls, 47 percent, I believe, had regression in their pubertal status reported during the course of the study.

And in this most recent one --

THE COURT: I don't understand what this means.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

Q Doctor, can you please describe what --

THE COURT: Is he talking about something relating to his background or what he does, or some opinion he has in the case?

MR. BLADUELL: This all goes to the qualifications. His research in the area of pubertal maturation.

Biro - Voir Dire (Bla)

THE COURT: Okay. Well he's using -- it's not clear to me what he's talking about.

MR. BLADUELL: Okay.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

- Q So, Dr. Biro, you've said that you've conducted some studies in the area of pubertal maturation.
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q And that area of pubertal maturation is to assess the stage -- in that research, you assess the stage that different individuals, that your patients are, correct?
- 11 A Yes.

- Q And you were saying that some individuals undergo regression in stage -- in pubertal maturation?
- 14 A Yes. In other words --
- Q Can you describe what the regression of pubertal stage is?
  - A Yes. So that in the most recent study, one out of five girls appear to have pubertal breast development, but when seen six to 12 months later, appeared not to have breast tissue whatsoever. And in the second large study, when we compared the information from the girls that we assessed through looking at their apparent breast stage, that half of the girls in that study comparing an earlier visit to a later visit, appeared to go backwards in what their breast stage was.

- Q And does that regression affect the apparent ages of these individuals?
- A Yes. It would.

- Q And how would they affect them?
- A Because you have -- we have standards defined around the different individual stages. And so if somebody regresses from, let's say stage three breast development, to stage two breast development, you'd say that, well, that individual appeared to be -- you know, have appropriate breast maturation from -- for somebody who's 11 or 12 years old, and now they have the appropriate breast maturation for somebody who's ten to eleven years old.
- Q So it would make them -- it would make them look younger?
- 14 A It would make them appear younger, yes.
  - Q Now besides the maturation stages, are there other factors that are used to determining someone's -- assess someone's age?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Can you describe your experience studying those factors?
  - A So that, for example, we have standards for how tall somebody should be at a given age. And so you can look at somebody's height and then take it back to this table and see where the average age would be for that particular height.
    - There are changes that occur -- well, of course, in the bone age, although we do assess that, you can't see that

from a physical examination. There are changes that occur in the body fat percentage. For example, in young women, in girls, it increases as one goes through pubertal maturation, and the body fat distribution also changes.

So that as a girl goes through puberty, her hips widen, and fat is preferentially deposited in her buttocks and in her thighs. And, again, we've published on those data as well.

- Q Have you received any awards for your work in pubertal maturation?
- A Yes. I've received several national awards. I received an award looking at a concept called, Pathways Through Puberty, that girls who have breast development before pubic hair development, might have a profile that would increase their subsequent risk of breast cancer.

And in the second one, it was looking at our most recent culprit, and that their maturing at a younger age and their hormonal profile associated with that onset of earlier puberty.

- Q Are you a member of professional organizations?
- 21 A Yes. Several.

- Q Can you give us some of them?
- A I'm a member of the Society for Adolescent Health and
  Medicine. North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent
  Gynecology. The American Pediatric Societies -- Pediatric

Biro - Voir Dire (Bla)

13

1 Academic Societies, I'm sorry.

And American College of Physicians, as well, of course.

- Q Have you published peer review journals -- articles in journals?
- A Yes, I have.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- 7 Q And approximately how many of them?
  - A I've published over a hundred original articles that have been peer reviewed. And probably 50 or 60 review articles that have been peer reviewed, as well as chapters for textbooks and monographs.
- 12 Q And do these articles describe pubertal maturation?
- 13 A Um --
- 14 Q And do you comment on -- I'm sorry. Let me strike that.
- Do these articles comment on pubertal maturation assessment?
- A About 40 to 50 of the original articles are around
  puberty. And around 50 or so articles are around adolescents
  and sexually transmitted infections.
- 19 Q Have you served as an expert witness before?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- Q And can you describe the instances where you've served as an expert witness?
- A In 1996, <u>Connections v. Screeno</u> (phonetic), and in 1988
  or '89 I was asked by the local district attorney's office on
  a rape case involving an adolescent.

Biro - Direct (Bla)

14

Q And where was -- can you describe the <u>Connections</u> case was about in 1996?

A I was asked to review the images that were published in a series of adult oriented magazines.

Q And overall what was your -- what opinions did you give in that case?

A I commented that there were, one, that assessing age by the changes associated with puberty is difficult, and there's a degree of uncertainty. And that these were a few images that I thought were depicting people under the age of 21.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLADUELL: Your Honor, at this time, the Government tenders Dr. Francis Biro as an expert in the fields of pediatrics, adolescent medicine and pubertal maturation.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions on qualifications?

MR. MURRAY: No, Your Honor. Not at this time.

THE COURT: All right. I'll allow him to testify as an expert in those fields.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLADUELL:

Q Dr. Biro, you've already described the changes that occur during puberty. Now my question is, do these changes vary from individual to individual?

A Yes. The --

Q And can you describe what this variation entails?

A Yes. The onset of puberty, the age of onset of puberty, we call the relative timing of puberty maturation. Some mature early, some mature late.

There is also a concept called temporal, which reflects the rate of change one goes through puberty. And this temporal can be defined by the onset of secondary sexual characteristics, to a particular pubertal markers such as the age of menarche or first menstrual period.

And that for young ladies typically that's called the temporal period, going from breast stage two until the age of menarche.

- Q And overall when is the age when girls achieve full pubertal maturation?
- A According to the more classic literature that has been published in the past 20 years, that girls complete full pubertal maturation 14 to maybe 16 years of age. We published a paper two years ago that noted that the onset of puberty, though, appears to be about a year earlier that had been defined previously.

And we are still following those girls to see whether those later milestones are achieved according to what the classic times were, or whether they're occurring at younger ages.

Q And when you say that they're at full pubertal maturation is appearing in younger ages in girls, what do you mean by

1 that?

- A The onset of breast development is occurring about 11 to 12 months younger then it had been in papers published 20 years ago.
- Q And are you aware of studies suggesting that the full pubertal maturation can appear before age -- mid to mid-teen years?
- A I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?
- Q Are you aware of research establishing that full pubertal maturation, or that maturation could occur in girls at a younger age?
- A Yes. Our paper suggests that breast development is occurring earlier. It actually corresponds with a paper that was produced in Copenhagen by the Copenhagen Puberty Study, which also noted a decrease in one year of age.
- Q Okay. And what about full pubertal maturation in boys?
- A The literature in boys is a -- it appears that boys are maturing a little bit earlier. The papers that have been published have not been completely consistent in how much that age of pubertal maturation has advanced.
- Q Now what are in -- can you describe the relationship between pubertal maturation and chronologic age?
- A So most time -- we give age ranges of the onset of puberty, as well as age ranges for these various milestones.

  So there's a correlation, it's a rough correlation, but there

is a correlation between these various milestones.

- Q So in your opinion, pubertal maturation can be used as one factor in determining the age of individuals?
- A It can be used as one factor, but the determination of somebody's chronologic age from pubertal maturation is, at best, an inexact science.
- Q Okay. And what other factors besides pubertal maturation stage can experts use in trying to determine someone's age by visual inspection?
- A So that with pubertal maturation, there's also several other changes that do occur, including height, and adult stature. Including body composition with -- in young men, typically an increase in lean body mass. In young women, typically a greater increase mass in fat mass than lean body mass.

In body fat distribution, so that in young men and adult men more body fat around the waist. In young women and adult women, more body fat in the buttocks and the thighs, until women get to be of a more adult age, in which there starts to be an increase in the central body fat.

Q Now in your view, is it difficult for a maturation expert such as yourself to determine someone's age by visual inspection?

THE COURT: By what? By face --

MR. BLADUELL: By visual --

THE COURT: Visual inspection.

THE WITNESS: I think that it is difficult to do,

BY MR. BLADUELL:

yes.

Q And can you describe why it is your opinion that this is difficult?

A It's difficult because one has to try to take into context all of these changes. That, for example, when we see somebody who is relatively short, our mind automatically refers back to what would be an appropriate age for that height.

And, again, in medicine we have height tables for different ages. That it's difficult because of when you're looking at things such as skin elasticity, sort of the lack of wrinkles or folds in the skin that if somebody is out in the sun excessively, or has photosensitive skin, that there's going to be increased number of wrinkles.

There's a lot of different factors that sort of play into this.

Q And in your view, what is the degree of uncertainty among maturation experts with respect to determining someone's age by visual inspection?

A It depends in part on the age of the individual. And those who are in their teen years, there's going to be some uncertainty, one to three, maybe a little bit more in those

Biro - Direct (Bla) who are young adults, it probably expands to two to five 1 2 years. 3 And do you expect that average people without training 4 and experience in maturation assessment would have difficulty in determining someone's age by visual inspection? 5 6 MR. MURRAY: Objection, Your Honor. I don't think 7 he's been qualified to be an expert on the question of other 8 people's ability to ascertain apparent --9 THE COURT: Well, how familiar are you with the 10 views of, say, your peers in this profession? 11 THE WITNESS: So I'm probably relegated as one of the national experts in puberty. And the assessment of 12 13 puberty. And my peers who also are involved in these 14 projects, there's been at least two national meetings where 15 they deferred to my methods of assessment to their own. 16 So they, among a group of pubertal maturation 17 experts, they consider me to have greater expertise. 18 THE COURT: Well are you familiar with other writings and other studies in the field? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. 21 22 BY MR. BLADUELL: 23 Dr. Biro, so the question was, in your opinion would you

expect someone, the average person, without training and experience in maturation assessment, to have difficulty in

24

determining someone's age by visual inspection?

before?

A I would. And the reason I respond that way is because it's not just being involved in these three projects, but all sorts of other projects with having, you know, developed the protocols for maturation assessment in my projects as well as other investigator's projects, conducting several thousand examinations directly, that I have a degree of difficulty.

I have also had the opportunity in seeing the participants in these projects over the course of, you know, studies lasting from three and a half to ten or more years, to be able to look at all these changes as they occur in real time with all these individuals.

And seeing them frequently there's -- some young ladies in some of the projects I've seen 14 or 15 times, for example. And so that I can see sort of all these changes that are occurring at the same time, and I would think that that would be difficult to do with somebody who has less exposure then that.

And I believe that Dr. Tanner himself probably did not perform as many examinations as I have. And certainly hasn't published regarding as many observations as I have.

Q And when you're referring to Dr. Tanner, is that the person that developed the Tanner Scales that you talked about

A Yes. A colleague of mine, who I've interacted with

multiple times before his death.

- Q Okay. Now you've talked a little bit about the patients that you see and the difficulties that you have in determining their age. Have you seen in your practice children that look older than they are?
- A Yes.

- Q And can you give us briefly a description of the patients that you've seen that look older then they are?
- A So I can think of several individuals, and one that's rather striking is a young lady who matured earlier than her peers. And by the age of 12 was fully physically mature.

In addition to that, she dressed rather provocatively, and like a young adult, and I think that, you know, now at the age of 14, I would think that most people would think of her in her late teens or perhaps even a little bit beyond that.

- Q So in your experience with patients, would you say that it's unusual for 15 or 16 years old to look over 18?
- A I think that they could adopt makeup, or hairstyles, or styles of dress that would make them appear a little bit older then they actually were.
- Q Okay. And, I mean, in your experience, would someone that is 25 years old, could that person also look under 18?
- A I think that in the same way -- and we've seen this in
  movies, in which people depict much younger people, especially

if the movie is taking somebody through various ages in their life where they appear to be teenagers, yet they might be in their twenties or so. But I've also seen people who dress in the styles that you would expect a teenager to dress, and might use hairstyles or clothing, and, again, makeup to make themselves appear younger then they actually are.

Q Okay. Now is there a relationship between pubertal maturation and brain development of teenagers?

A So there had been a couple of papers that have commented, actually, on the increasing disparity between the onset of pubertal maturation and brain development.

So there's a part of the brain that develops in the late school aged child, eight, nine, ten years old, the locus ceruleus (phonetic) and the strata -- blocking on the name of it. I'm sorry. It's another part of the hypothalamus. And this is effectively the reward center of the brain.

And that the prefrontal cortex, which is the last part of the brain to mature, matures in young women between the ages of 18 and 20, in young men between the ages of 20 and 23.

And this prefrontal cortex is the executive part of the brain. It modulates the amount of emotion that we put into our thoughts and into our communication. It also acts as sort of that superego, the don't do this, because there are some consequences of it. And, of course, the other thing that

happens to the adolescent brain is that the brain begins to be more future oriented. So that one is aware that the current day behavior might have some impact on subsequent development.

But in the early maturing, especially the early maturing girl, there's a disparity between what physically she looks like, and what her brain is capable of -- of dealing with.

Q So what is the significance of this disparity between the timing of pubertal maturation and the timing of brain maturation?

A Well, for example, your reward center says do it, and your executive center doesn't function yet. The other thing is that, for example, in the early maturing girl, we know from several different studies and, including studies that we've published, that the earlier maturing girl is maturing before both boys and girls, because boys mature a little bit later, and the early maturing girl is at a special risk for some of these behaviors.

Such as earlier initiation of sexual intercourse.

Earlier age of acquisition of sexually transmitted infections.

Greater likelihood of being a pregnant teenager. Greater involvement in tobacco and substance use. Lower academic achievement. I could go on.

Q And is that because the brain is not fully mature at that age?

A Well part of it we believe is that with -- especially with girls this off timing, is that their peer group is interacting with them as they appear, what they look like to them. And so if you're 12 or 13 and you appear to be 16, you're -- people are going to interact with you like you're 16, not that you're 12 or 13. But your brain cannot really process, just because you appear to be older, doesn't mean that your brain -- the parts of the brain mature earlier and they don't.

- Q And are you aware of research showing the tendency to engage in risky behaviors because of this disparity?
- A Right. Yes. And several people have published in this area, including myself. We've published several articles looking at risk and acquisition of sexually transmitted infections in girls. Especially -- and so the early maturing girl, again, is at greater risk.
- Q Okay. Dr. Biro, in connection with this case, were you asked to review some sexually explicit images?
- 19 A Yes, I was.

- Q Okay. And can you -- and how many -- approximately how many images were you asked to review?
- 22 A Approximately 150.
- Q And what's your understanding of where those images came from?
- 25 A They -- the images came from you. And you said that they

were images from the plaintiffs. You did not say that this was an exclusive collection that you had. You just said that this was some of the images.

- Q Okay. And what is your overall assessment of how old the people appearing in the images looked?
- A Of the images that you provided to me, it appeared that about half were in their twenties or younger.
- Q Okay. And were you asked to do something more specific with these images?
- A I was asked to catagorize them into various groups. And one group was a group of images representing young women who could be younger than 18. Another group was -- the second group was a group that, not that they were, by the way, not that they were, but that they could be under 18.

The second group was a group of young ladies, which

I did not think were under 18, but might be confused by others
as being under the age of 18.

And then a third group in which I felt that there weren't enough visual cues to be able to establish the age of the person in question.

- Q And were there also men in those images?
- A Yes.

- 23 Q Okay. Now let's -- let me show you Exhibit Number 316,
- 24 Dr. Brio. Dr. Biro, do you recognize Exhibit Number 316?
- 25 A Yes, I do.

- 1 Q And can you describe what Exhibit 316 is?
  - A So in Section 1, it's those who could be confused as minors, although they could be over the age of 18.
  - Q So are these the images that you were talking about when you were asked to classify?
  - A I apologize. Yes. These are the images.
  - Q Okay. And in number one that we see on our screen, the images that you put in the category of could be confused by minors, although they may be over 18, correct?
- 10 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

- 11 Q And is that an accurate reflection of those images?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And if we go to the second page. This is another category of images?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And can you describe what this category means?
- A This is -- this represents a group of images that I

  evaluated, where although I did not believe any of the models

  were under 18, that they might be confused by others as being

  under 18.
- Q And why could they be confused by others and not you as being under 18?
  - A Well because I was looking at a number of different factors, which included, for example, the relative proportions of the hip to the waist. So this waist-hip ratio. I was

looking at the body fat distribution. I was looking at, if they were bent or folded, whether there were -- how their skin reacted to those lines of stress. And I was looking at the amount of subcutaneous fat tissue. I was looking at the maturation of the face. As the face goes, through puberty, there are also changes.

The face actually gets larger relative to the head. The head actually doesn't increase in size after about age nine or ten, but the face continues to grow, and there's certain parts of the face, specifically the forehead, the nose, and the chin that continues to get a little bit larger as you get into your adult years.

- Q And you expect the average person without your training and experience to be able to reliably assess those factors in determining someone's age?
- A I believe that it would be more difficult for somebody else to be able to do that.
- Q And let's move on to the third page of this Exhibit 316.

  Is that -- could you describe what this category means?
- A This category was a group of models that I wasn't given
  -- I didn't feel that I had enough visual cues in order to

provide a reliable estimate of how old they were.

Q Okay. Now I'm going to show you some of this -pictures, so that we can get a sense of how you conducted your
assessment.

In Exhibit Number -- if we go back to the first category, those that could reasonably be confused for minors.

And we go to exhibit Number 165G. Dr. Biro, do you recognize this image?

- A Yes. I recognize this image.
- Q And is this one of the images that you reviewed?
- A Yes.

- Q And can you tell us what your opinion about the apparent age of this person is?
- A I thought that this was one of the depictions that could be confused as being under the age of 18. Oh, this person could be over 18, that she could be confused as under 18.
- Q And why do you say that?
  - A Okay. So if you take a look at her breasts, you can see with the shadowing that she has a separate elevation of the central part of the breast. The scientific terms for those are aureola and papilla. So this is the classic example of Tanner's stage breast four.

It is also true that some woman never advance to breast stage five. But still, this image is of breast stage four. She's shaved her pubic hair, except for a narrow strip in the middle, so that it makes it difficult to say that she's anything beyond pubic hair stage three.

She has a relatively tubular body shape, that is, that there's not much in the way of hips relative to the

waist. And, again, that would be more consistent with a teenager then with an -- somebody 18 years or older. And it may be genetic, but her chin is rather small. And, again, that -- and that's one of the things that makes it confusing, because that could be genetic in part, but again that chin is smaller then one would expect with an adult.

There's relatively little subcutaneous fat in this young lady.

- Q And when you say that, where are you looking?
- A I'm looking at the arms, I'm looking, you know, the upper arms, the forearms, I'm looking at the hands. And also there's little fat distribution that's in the thighs as well.
- Q And, I'm sorry, you commented on the pubic hair?
- 14 A Yes, I did.

Q Okay. What was your --

THE COURT: Can I just ask a question? You used the term teenager. Is that a term of art in your field?

THE WITNESS: So teenager in our field refers, specifically to the chronologic age. So it's going to be teenagers, probably the common definition of what you would call a teenager, 13 to 19 years of age.

We when we refer to adolescent, adolescent can either refer to the overall group of teenagers, or it could involve the social behaviors of a group of teenagers.

THE COURT: Well is there an agree -- in your

profession, is there agreed upon range that applies to the

30

1 2

term adolescent, or not?

3

THE WITNESS: No, there's not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. But a teenager could be anyone between 13 and 19, that is the years that includes the word -the letters teen, T-E-E-N?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead. I'm sorry. BY MR. BLADUELL:

And, Dr. Biro, based on all your training and experience, will you be confident -- 100 percent confident that this person is over the age of 18?

I'm not confident that she's over 18, nor am I confident that she's under 18, I think that she could be confused with somebody under the age of 18.

MR. BLADUELL: Okay. Now let's move on to Exhibit Number 164E, please.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

Dr. Biro, do you recognize this image?

Yes, I do. Α

And what do -- what was your assessment of the apparent age of the person depicted in this picture?

Again, I thought this was a young lady who could be confused as minor, although she could be over the age of 18.

And can you briefly explain why you say that? Q

A Okay. So she has relatively modest breast development.

That could be because she's thin, but it could also be because she's younger.

She has pubic hair four development. It could be because she's shaved, or it could be because that is her pubic hair maturation.

She has -- you can see sort of along her left side her ribs are well-defined. There's very little subcutaneous fat. Again, it could be somebody who's relatively thin. In the -- one of the striking things you can see that, although it could be genetic, she has a relatively underdeveloped chin.

Lastly, although in this young lady her hips are a little bit wider then in the previous young lady, she still doesn't have probably the proportion of hips relative to waist that you would expect with an adult.

- Q And can you be confident that this person is, just by looking at the person, over 18?
- A I can't be confident that she's over 18, nor could I be confident that she's under 18.
  - Q Okay.

- 21 MR. BLADUELL: Now let's go to Exhibit Number 168.
- 22 And that's -- 168, page 80. Okay.
- BY MR. BLADUELL:
- Q Dr. Biro, did you review images in the book that I'm holding in my hand, <u>Photo Sex</u>?

- A You provided me several PDF images from that book.
- Q Okay. And I'm going to --

MR. BLADUELL: Permission to approach the Bench, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

- Q Dr. Biro, I'm going to show you page 80 of the book <u>Photo</u>
  <u>Sex</u> by David Steinberg. There is a depiction in there. Yes?
- A Yes. I reviewed this pic -- this photograph.
- Q And can you -- what was your opinion about the apparent age of the individuals depicted in this?
- A Well it's an adult male that's in the background. But in the foreground is a young lady. We can't tell that she has any public hair at all. I mean, she could have shaved her public hair, or she might not have had any public hair.

There's little subcutaneous fat. And from what we can sort of see of her waist and her hips, that she has narrow hips relative to her waist. Again, one of the -- there's been people publish that some racial and ethnic groups might be more difficult to be able to assess then other groups.

And Asian women are in that group that might be -leading to some of this difficulty. But I thought that she
could reasonably be confused as a minor. I can't say that she
is under 18, or that she is over 18.

MR. BLADUELL: And that's Exhibit 168G, for the

```
Case 2:09-cv-04607-MMB Document 227 Filed 07/17/13 Page 33 of 177
                           Biro - Direct (Bla)
                                                                    33
     record.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: 168D, for dog?
 3
               MR. BLADUELL: G, G. 168G.
 4
               THE COURT: G?
                                Thank you.
 5
               MR. BLADUELL: And let's go to 167C -- I'm sorry
 6
     167F.
 7
     BY MR. BLADUELL:
 8
          Dr. Biro, do you recognize this image?
 9
          Yes, I do recognize this image.
     Α
10
               MR. BLADUELL: And if we go to -- can we go to 125U.
11
     BY MR. BLADUELL:
12
          Dr. Biro, is Exhibit 125U the same image as 167F?
     Q
          If that was the image that you just showed me, yes.
13
     Α
14
          Yes. And you also commented on this image and assessed
15
     it for the ages of those appearing in the box cover, correct?
16
     Α
          Yes.
17
          And did you also review this particular URL?
18
          Yes, I did.
     Α
19
          And what was your assessment of the ages of the
20
     individuals appearing in this cover?
21
          So as we discussed just a few minutes ago, that one looks
22
     at somebody's height, and that one automatically relegates
23
     that to a chronologic age. And as I said, in medicine, we
24
     know that when somebody comes in, we put the percentile
```

height, and if it's lower then we would expect it, we then

Biro - Direct (Bla)

34

look at as the -- what the age is for somebody who's the 50

percentile for that height.

And, typically, I mean, these young ladies are chosen -- selected because they're both short, and they also have low body mass.

- Q And how do you know that?
- A It describes it as such. And I had --
- 8 Q And where, in this -- in the URL?
  - A It's in the URL. Yes.
- 10 Q And what -- how does it describe them?
- 11 A For example -- for example, the genre is younger women.
- 12 And it says "All under five foot one inches and 100 pounds."
- So these are young ladies who were likely selected because of
- 14 those attributes.
- MR. BLADUELL: Let's go to Exhibit Number 161D
- 16 please.

3

4

5

6

7

- 17 BY MR. BLADUELL:
- 18 Q Dr. Biro, do you recognize this image?
- 19 A I recognize this image.
- 20 Q And in what category do you put this image?
- 21 A I put this in the second category, I believe it was
- 22 paragraph 19 in my report.
- Q Okay.
- A And this would be those that could potentially be
- 25 confused by minors. Although I do not believe that they're

under the age of 18. In Eve, for example, somebody might be drawn to that she has small breasts, and might assume because of that that she is somewhat younger than she actually is.

But one can see that her hips are much wider relative to her waist. And that this is likely somebody who is over the age of 18, but could be confused by somebody who just looks at this and does A more cursory examination, or doesn't take into account some of these other factors.

Q Okay.

MR. BLADUELL: And if we could go to 164J.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

- Q Do you recognize this image, Dr. Biro?
- 13 A I do.
  - Q Okay. and what category do you put this person?
  - A I thought that this, again, could be a young lady who might be confused as an adolescent. I did not feel that she was under the age of 18.
    - Q And what are the things that you can see that you would think that average people would not see?
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q In determining their age.
  - A So, again, her breast development is breast stage five, so it's fully mature. So, you know, it's -- she has somewhat more modest breasts than some of the other models. It's completely consistent with adult maturation. Again, she

shaves, so that she's -- one could misinterpret that as pubic hair three, or four.

She's got -- again, you can take a look, her hips are greater then her waist, much greater then her waist. And she's got enough subcutaneous fat that -- around the umbilicus, that you can sort of see that she's developing both subcutaneous fat, and a little bit more central adipose.

MR. BLADUELL: Now lets go to Exhibit Number 160, please.

### BY MR. BLADUELL:

- Q Dr. Biro, is this another image that you were asked to review?
- 13 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

- 14 Q And what category did you put this image in?
- A So we're talking about the person who's sort of facing towards us. And I felt that there was probably -- I didn't feel that I had enough visual cues in order to make an accurate assessment of this person's age.
- 19 Q And why didn't you have cues?
  - A Part of it is because she's behind the performer, or the model, or the person in the foreground of the photograph. And so it takes away a lot of the cues that I sort of examine, that I look at in trying to assess the age.
- 24 Q What would you need to look at?
- 25 A I mean, I -- if she could be standing up, that would be

Biro - Direct (Bla)

37

helpful, without anybody obstructing views that you could take a look at, again, take a look at what the hip ratio is relative to the waist. Take a look at the breast maturation, take a look at the pubic hair maturation.

Get a better look at her overall face. It -- take a look at whether there's wrinkles, or lines of force along the skin. And you can't -- we have a hard time seeing any of those in this.

- Q Can you be confident that this person is over 18?
- A I have no confidence in -- I can't be confident this person is under 18, or over 18. I can't make a determination.
- MR. BLADUELL: Let's go to 162D, please
- 13 BY MR. BLADUELL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

20

21

22

23

- 14 Q Dr. Biro, do you recognize those images?
- 15 A I recognize these images.
  - Q And what category do you place those images in?
- A I felt that there weren't enough visual cues to really assign any age to these people.
- 19 Q And why was that?
  - A It's -- you're not seeing the rest of the body, you're just seeing the vulva and immediate media files in these people, and that's all.
    - Q Is it your opinion that you cannot determine a person's age just by looking at this isolated part of the body?
- 25 A I would say that the middle one is probably over the age

Biro - Direct (Bla)

of 18, because of hardware that she's had inserted, to people who consent for that procedure. But that's the only thing I could say.

Q Okay.

MR. BLADUELL: Let's go to 164N, please.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

- Q Do you recognize this image?
- A I do.
  - Q And what category do you put this image in?
  - A I, again, said that if -- it was -- I felt that I was not able to determine the ages of these models. In part because there's distortion of the images, because it's, I'm assuming a fisheye lens that was used for this photograph. So there's a distortion of a lot of the body parts.
  - Q And let's go to --

THE COURT: Can I interrupt for one second. Are you at all familiar with digital photography?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I know the term, I know some of the things that are capable. I have not sort of --

THE COURT: All right. Have you ever come across examples where there's a photo that has been taken and then the photo has been touched up, or manipulated in some way?

For example, that someone who is younger, they can be made to look older, and vice versa by use of digital -- the ability of a digital photograph to be altered by someone after the image

```
Biro - Direct (Bla)
```

1 is taken?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Only if you know. Don't speculate.

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know. But if I could be allowed just a moment's speculation, would be that I --

THE COURT: Well you can't speculate.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. Next question.

MR. BLADUELL: Let's go 162G.

## BY MR. BLADUELL:

- Q Is this another image that you were asked to evaluate,
- 11 Dr. Biro?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And can you describe this image for the record?
- 14 A It's a young man who's holding his penis and having some
- device against that penis. You see his medial portion of his
- thighs, you can see his hands, you can see his penis. You
- 17 really can't see much else.
- 18 Q You're not confident that this person is over 18?
- A I would have no way of being able to give you the age of this person.
- 21 Q All right.
- MR. BLADUELL: And, finally, let's go to Exhibit
- 23 Number 168E.
- 24 BY MR. BLADUELL:
- 25 Q Do you recognize this image, Dr. Biro?

Biro - Direct (Bla)

A I do recognize this image.

- Q And what category did you place this image in?
- A Although I am fairly certain about the young lady in the foreground, the young man I think would be difficult to be able to give an exact age on him. I base this on the fact that he doesn't have well-defined musculature, which is something that one has in the late teens and early twenties.

That he is completely clean shaven, but he does have sideburns there. I -- he doesn't have much in the way -- he has good skin elasticity, and I'm gray green color blind, so I interpret it that he had red hair, and that he is very fair skinned.

And that -- so I would have expected more wrinkles in somebody who is older, but I felt uncertain being able to label him as being under 18, or over 18. I'm unable to make that determination. There's certain cues that tell me that he should be under 18. There's certain cues that I have that tell me that he should be over 18. I am at a loss.

- Q Okay. Now, Dr. Biro, you're aware that of the law at issue in this case, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And you're aware that Section 2257 requires producers of sexually explicit material to check the ID's of their performers, correct?
- 25 A Yes,  $\sin$ , I am.

Biro - Direct (Bla)

- Q Regardless of their age?
- A Yes.

Q Do you think this is an effective system to allow for the determination of the person's age?

MR. MURRAY: Objection, Your Honor. He's not an expert on policy or law.

THE COURT: Yes. I'll sustain the objection. You can rephrase the question in terms of his expertise.

## BY MR. MURRAY:

- Q Well based on your expertise, and your testimony about the difficulties of assessing age by visual inspection, would you -- you would think that requiring ID's for the performers in sexually explicit depictions is a good way to deal with the uncertainty, in determining someone's age by visual inspection?
- A I think that it's possible for somebody who's 17 years of age to be able to apply effective makeup and hairstyle to make themselves appear in their mid-twenties, if they so choose.

And I believe that the opposite can also be an effect, that somebody could dress themselves, or use makeup or hairstyles to make themselves appear younger. So even though I said that, you know, there's a degree of uncertainty around establishing age by looking at all these cues, that somebody who's making themselves appear younger or older will expand that degree of uncertainty.

Q And based on your experience and your training, in your view would a law that sets -- what's your view on the efficacy of a rule that requires producers of sexually explicit material to check ID's for individuals who, for example, look under 18 -- or under 25?

A So I am a pediatrician and --

MR. MURRAY: Objection, Your Honor. Again, the -THE COURT: Sustained. I don't know what you mean
by efficacy. I mean, does he think it's important to find out
the age of someone who is -- from a medical point of view, do
you think it's important to check the age of someone who is
appearing in sexually explicit images?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, again, because I am a pediatrician, I -- even if there's only one case or two cases in a hundred, and somebody who could be mistaken who was in there 17 and trying to appear older, as a pediatrician it's part of what we strive for is to protect the health and the rights of minority age people, of children.

BY MR. BLADUELL:

Q And would a law -- would a law that requires the producers of sexually explicit material only to check ID's of people who look at a certain age, 25 or under, would that be effective in protecting minors?

MR. MURRAY: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, sustained. I think that's beyond

his expertise. What the effect of -- it depends how it's enforced. I mean, I don't think that's a medical -- you can ask him from a medical point of view other questions if you want.

But I think they ought to be phrased so he's answering as a pediatrician, and not just as a citizen or -- BY MR. BLADUELL:

Q Okay. Well from a medical point of view, if the law were that producers only had to check ID's of individuals who look under 18, would that be a difficult assessment to make for people without experience in maturation assessment?

MR. MURRAY: Objection.

THE COURT: That, I'll let him answer. But this is from your viewpoint as a pediatrician.

THE WITNESS: With my years of experience and all the studies that I've conducted, I have a degree of difficulty. The medical literature suggests that it is extremely difficult, especially if one only uses isolated parts of the human anatomy, such as breast development. And that, if it's difficult for me to be able to -- to find performers between the ages of 18 to 25, I would say that the difficulty would have to be greater in other people.

MR. BLADUELL: Your Honor, I move Exhibits 1 -- 316, and all of the exhibits that appear in that summary into evidence.

```
Case 2:09-cv-04607-MMB Document 227 Filed 07/17/13 Page 44 of 177
                           Biro - Cross (Mur)
                                                                    44
               THE COURT: Okay.
 1
 2
               MR. BLADUELL: And I have no further questions at
 3
     this time.
               THE COURT: All right. Admitted. All right.
 4
 5
     Cross-examine.
 6
                             CROSS-EXAMINATION
 7
     BY MR. MURRAY:
          Dr. Biro, you've been at the U of C for almost all of
 8
 9
     your professional career, is that correct?
10
     Α
          Yes, sir.
11
          Okay. Have you ever been in private practice?
12
     Α
          No, sir.
          Now you spend how much of your time, let's say in the
13
     last five years, actually seeing patients? What percentage of
14
15
     your time is devoted to actually seeing patients?
16
          This past year, I've spent over 40 percent of my time
     Α
17
     seeing patients. In the past five years it's varied, probably
18
     25 percent to 45 percent.
19
          Okay. And you see patients on both an outpatient basis
20
     -- actually primarily on an outpatient basis, isn't that true?
          Yes. Although this year I spent quite a bit of time on
21
     Α
22
     an inpatient basis, as well.
```

Because every once in a while you're required to do a

23

24

25

Α

Yes.

rotation in the hospital?

Biro - Cross (Mur)

Q Okay. But that's not something that you do regularly, is

2 it?

3

- A Those expectations are regular, yes.
- 4 Q Well how many times a year, for example?
- A This year and last year were a little bit different than
- 6 most other years, but typically I've been on inpatient for a
- full month, or two, two-week blocks. And that's probably been
- 8 pretty consistent over the -- that's been the minimum over the
- 9 past five years.
- 10 Q Okay. So 11 months out of the year the patients that you
- 11 see are on an outpatient basis?
- 12 A I do inpatient and outpatient, at the same time. When I
- do an inpatient, my work week goes up to 75, 80 hours a week.
- 14 It's not a 40-hour work week.
- 15 Q Eleven months of the year you're doing only outpatient?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And the vast majority of your patients are
- 18 adolescents, isn't that true?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And in fact, of the patients that you've seen who are
- 21 over the age of 21, at least in the past couple of years, only
- 22 about five to seven percent of the people that you've seen as
- a medical doctor were over the age of 21, isn't that true?
- 24 A Yes, that is true.
- 25 Q And 75 to 80 percent of your patients are 19 years old

Biro - Cross (Mur)

46

1 and younger, correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A Yes. I'd have to look at the exact -- yes, I believe that to be roughly --
  - Q Now you would agree that pubertal maturation is not an exact science ,is it?
- A No, it is not.
- Q And in fact, medical science doesn't study puberty and pubertal maturation as a way of figuring out how old a person is, isn't that true?
- 10 A That is true.
- 11 Q Now on your direct examination you estimated the ages of
  12 some of the persons depicted in images produced by some of the
  13 plaintiffs in this case, correct?
- 14 A Yes, correct.
- Q And you did so as well when you wrote your report, didn't you?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q And you used, among other things, changes associated with pubertal maturation to make these estimates, did you not?
- 20 A They were -- yes, those were incorporated in those decisions.
- Q And those, in turn, were based upon, among other things, the Tanner Maturation Scale, correct?
- 24 A Yes, that is true.
- 25 Q And in fact your Attachment B to your report gives a

chart which pretty much duplicates the Tanner Maturation

Scale, does it not? With maybe some minor revisions that you added to it?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q And in fact, in connection with your review of males depicted in these photos that you considered, you used Tanner Pubic Hair Staging system for estimating -- helping you to estimate the ages of the males, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- Q And for females you used Tanner's Pubic Hair Staging
  system as well as one factor in helping you to estimate the
  ages, correct?
- 13 A Yes. One of several factors.
- Q And then for female breast staging system you used a modification of Tanner's system, isn't that true?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And I think you indicated that Dr. Tanner -- well who was Dr. Tanner?
- 19 A Dr. Tanner --
- 20 Just so the record is clear. Go ahead.
- A Dr. Tanner was a physician living in Boston -- London,
  I'm sorry. Living in London, who studied a group of young men
  and young women. The studies that were utilized to provide
  the standards for pubertal assessment were living in an
  orphanage outside of London.

And he took photographs of these people, and then lined up the photographs and designated what stage they were on the basis of the photographs.

- Q And then he came up with what you pediatricians, or people in the field of pubertal maturation call the Tanner Scale?
- A He borrowed the system actually from Reynolds and Vines who had published it back in the forties. But he utilized some of their scales. And then they became known in this country as the Tanner Stages.
- Q Okay. Now you are familiar with the journal known as Pediatrics, are you not?
- 13 A Yes, I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 14 Q And in fact that is a peer reviewed journal, is it not?
- 15 A Yes, it is.
- Q As a matter of fact, it is the hallmark journal of the
  American Academy of Pediatrics, isn't it?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And in fact you have published several articles yourself 20 in that journal, isn't that true?
- 21 A Yes.

22

23

24

25

Q I want to show you what has been marked -- what was marked in your deposition exhibit as Plaintiff's Exhibit 013 and what I've now marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 137. And you see that that is a copy of a letter that was published in that

Biro - Cross (Mur)

peer review journal known as <u>Pediatrics</u>, in December of 1998, correct?

A Yes.

Q And that was a letter from two physicians, including Dr. Tanner himself, correct?

A Yes.

Q And in that letter, it was -- the title of it was,

"Misuse of Tanner Puberty Stages to estimate chronological
age." Correct?

A Yes, it is.

Q And the letter goes on to recite that one of them had been involved as an expert in several U.S. Federal cases involving child pornography, correct?

A Yes.

Q And then go on to say:

"In these cases the staging of sexual maturation, the Tanner Stage, has been used not to stage maturation, but to estimate probably chronological age. This is a wholly illegitimate use of Tanner Staging. No equations exist estimating age from stage, and even if they did, the degree of unreliability in the staging, the independent variable, would introduce large areas into the estimation of age. The dependent variable. Furthermore, the unreliability of the stage rating is increased to an unknown degree by improperly performed staging. That is not at a clinical examination, but

through non-standardized, and thus unsuitable photographs.

"Therefore, we wish to caution pediatricians and other physicians to refrain from providing expert testimony as to chronological age based on Tanner Staging, which was designed for estimating development or physiologic age for medical, educational and sports purposes. In other words, identifying early and late maturer's. The method is appropriate for this, provided chronologic age is know.

"It is not designed for estimating chronologic age and, therefore, not properly used for this purpose."

Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

- Q And that's what Dr. Tanner wrote, correct?
- 14 A Yes, it is.
  - Q Now one of the things you mentioned that -- before I forget it, you were talking about how the brain matures in human beings later than -- than puberty, correct?
- A What I said was that there were parts of the brain, actually puberty --
- 20 Q Yes.
  - A -- puberty only comes about because of maturation of the LH-RH pulse generator, which is part of the hypothalamus, and that's what the onset of puberty is. But, yes, the prefrontal cortex matures after pubertal maturation begins, or probably even after it ends.

- Q Right. And that actually happens even after the age of 18, correct?
- A Yes.

- Q And that's the part of the brain that helps us control our impulses, and helps us conform our conduct to the law, correct, for example?
- A I would assume that the latter part of your statement is correct. Certainly the first part of your statement is correct.
- Q Okay. And you're familiar -- are you familiar with the fact that that's the one of the very reasons that the United States Supreme Court declared that the death penalty could not be imposed on persons under the age of 18, because of the late development of their -- the brain -- the part of the brain that controls their impulses?
  - MR. BLADUELL: Objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Overruled. Did you understand the question?
- THE WITNESS: I was not aware that that's why the death penalty was not, but it makes perfectly good sense to me.
- 22 BY MR. MURRAY:
  - Q Okay. Anyway, that was just an aside. Let me get back
    to your testimony, and your report in particular. In your
    report, and I'll show it to you if you need to see it. But in

your report at paragraph 11, on page 3, if you happen to have your report in front of you.

A I do. Thank you.

Q You state as follows.

"Pubertal maturation typically impacts the apparent ages of teenagers. Those in their mid to late teens who have achieved full pubertal maturation can easily appear to be in their twenties."

## Correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And by mid to late teens, you're referring ages 15 or 16 to ages 19, correct?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
  - Q And I think you indic -- you really meant to say can easily appear to be in their early twenties? Wasn't that what you indicated that you should have written?
  - A Certainly the statement can easily appear to be in their early twenties would be accurate.
  - Q Okay. Now then in paragraph 15 of your report, on page four, you write:

"In my view, there is a degree of uncertainty about the visual determination of a person's age, plus or minus two to five years. That is, despite relevant training, experts in maturation assessment may be off with specific maturation stage, and this could lead to a degree of uncertainty of one

to three years for assessment of age in teens and young adults. And two to five years in assessment of somewhat older adults."

Correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. So when it comes to teens and young adults, the margin of error is around one to three years, correct?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- Q And when it comes to older adults, the margin of error is two to five years, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
  - Q So if I understand correctly what you're saying is, if we're talking about teenagers and young adults, that would mean that if someone is actually 19 years old, you might confuse that person as anywhere from 16 to 22 years old, giving a one to three year margin for error?
  - A If they're not trying to make -- make themselves appear younger or older. Yes.
- Q Okay. But that's what you mean by that margin of error, one to three years, correct?
  - A The one to three years is without trying to make themselves appear differently, yes.
- Q Just by looking at them, in other words? In their natural state.
- 25 A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. And then when it comes to older adults, you're saying the margin of error is two to five years. So, for example, if we have somebody who's 50 years old, someone might estimate their age anywhere between 45 and 55, if you use the maximum five-year margin for error, correct?

A Right. I guess I wasn't thinking about some people -when I wrote this, I wasn't thinking about 50 and 60 year
olds, I was thinking more about 30 and 40 year olds.

Q Okay. But the same thing would apply to a 50 year olds as 40 year olds, wouldn't it, the same margin of error?

A I think when you get up to, let's say, age 60, I think that there would be even a little bit more degree of variability, simply because --

Q Sure.

- 15 A -- there's a bigger of percentage.
  - Q Sure. I mean you could take a 60-year-old who's trim and fit and has taken good care of himself, or herself and you might say, I think that -- I think she's 50 years old, rather than 60?
- 20 A Yes. At that age, yes.
  - Q Okay. But you're not going to confuse her for somebody who's under the age of 18?
- 23 A No, you're not.
- Q Okay. Now let's talk bout the area of confusion. You would agree, would you not, that generally speaking children

who are 12 years of age and younger are not going to be confused as adults. Isn't that true, who are at least 18 or over?

- A Generally, I believe that to be true, yes.
- Q Okay. And you would agree that even most 13 year olds, not all, but most 13 year old children are not going to be confused as adults who are age 18 or over, as a general rule, correct?
- A As a general rule, yes.

- Q And I think you would even agree that most 14 year old children as a general rule will not be confused as adults, although some could be, correct?
- A Correct. As you get older, as you start increasing the age the -- the likelihood -- you know, if you think of it sort of as a distribution, like a bell-shaped curve, that curve begins to -- as the age goes up, that curve begins to encompass 21 with greater likelihood.
- Q Well let's be precise. Do you agree with the statement that most 14 year olds will not be confused as adults?
- A Most 14 year olds -- most 14 year olds would not be confused.
- Q Okay. Now let's go to the other end of the spectrum.

  Would you agree that as a general matter, adults who are 25

  years old and above, are not, generally speaking, going to be
  confused as minors aged 17 or below?

- A So I would agree that is generally true. But not always true.
  - Q Okay. So, generally speaking, the age range where there could be confusion as to whether a person under 18 is an adult, and whether a person over age 18 is actually a minor, the general age range of confusion would be ages 15 to 24, generally speaking?
  - A Generally speaking, that is true, if you're looking at generalities.
  - Q And, in fact, if you were to go up to the age of 30 on the -- on that end of the spectrum, that would capture nearly everyone who could conceivably be confused as being under the age of 18, wouldn't it?
- A That would encompass many more than just 24 or 25, yes.

  And most, the vast majority.
  - Q Okay. Now let's talk a little bit about the -- and just looking around the courtroom, you can see -- how many people in this courtroom do you see, as you just look out here, that you think would be -- might be confused as someone under the age of 18?
  - A Well there's one person in the courtroom that I'd have to look at more closely.
  - Q I was hoping you would say that. Could you point her out?
  - A It's the young lady in the second row.

Q Good. That happens to be my law partner's young
daughter. So she'll be happy to know that. But, otherwise,
everyone else in the courtroom would not be confused as
someone under the age of 18, would you agree with that?

- A On casual inspection, no. But there's at least one or two people who might possibly be confused.
- Q Okay. So one or two people out of about, I don't know, 20 or so people in the courtroom, just approximately?
- 9 A Yes, sir.

5

6

7

- Q And apart form those one or two people, you don't need to be -- you wouldn't need to be an expert to make that same assessment, would you?
- 13 A I don't believe so.
- Q Okay. Now let's talk about -- you gave testimony on direct examination about the -- the <u>Connection</u> case. And that was also a case involving 2257, correct?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- Q And you testified in that case in Federal Court in Cleveland in 1996, correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And in that case, you studied what were known as swingers magazines?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And those swinger's magazines included sexually explicit images throughout the pages of those magazines of

Biro - Cross (Mur)

58

- various people, correct? 1
- Yes, sir. 2 Α
- 3 And you studied a total of eight of those magazines, correct?
- 5 Yes, sir. Α

4

6

7

- Okay. By the way, when you wrote your report in that case, though, you were under a very serious misunderstanding about the age of majority, weren't you?
- Yes, sir. 9 Α
- 10 Q You were under the impression that the age of majority is
- 21, at the time you wrote your report, correct? 11
- 12 Α Yes.
- And you later learned that it's actually 18 and younger 13
- -- or 18 is the age of majority, correct? 14
- 15 I've learned a lot in the past 17 years.
- 16 Okay. As we all have. Thank you, Doctor. The -- so you
- 17 studied those eight magazines with the purpose in mind of
- 18 doing what you did in the courtroom today, ascertaining
- 19 whether any of the persons depicted in those magazines,
- 20 estimating what their ages were, correct?
- Yes, sir. 21 Α
- 22 Okay. And in that case, if I'm not mistaken, you came to
- the conclusion that there were maybe a few images where you 23
- were unsure -- where the person depicted might be under the 24
- 25 age of 21. Do you recall that?

A Yes, I do.

Q Okay. But you also came to the conclusion that the vast majority of the photos that were depicted in those eight sexually explicit magazines depicted persons who were obviously over 21 years of age. Isn't that true?

A Yes, sir.

Q And in fact, many of the photographs that you reviewed in that case obviously depicted persons in their thirties, forties, and even fifties, isn't that true?

A I haven't seen those in the 16 years or 17 years since the case. And I have to confess, I recall that most of them were adults, and some of them were middle-aged adults. Many of them from memory.

Q Yes.

A Were middle-aged adults. But it's been years since I've seen those images.

Q And I appreciate that. Let me help refresh your recollection, Doctor, by showing you the transcript of the proceeding that occurred on April 8th, 1996 in the <u>Connection</u> case at which you testified.

And in your testimony, in Federal Court in Cleveland, I believe -- and isn't it true that you were asked these questions, and gave these answers?

"Q So isn't it true, sir, that the vast majority of the photographs that you reviewed in all of these magazines

Case 2:09-cv-04607-MMB Document 227 Filed 07/17/13 Page 60 of 177 Biro - Cross (Mur) depicts people who are obviously over 21 years of age?" 1 2 And your answer was: 3 "A That is true." And in fact many of the photographs that you 4 5 reviewed obviously depict people in their thirties, and forties, and even fifties, correct?" 6 7 And your answer was: That is correct." 8 "A 9 And as to the vast majority of photographs 10 11

depicting people who are obviously over 21, you don't need a photo identification to come to that conclusion that they are over 21, do you?

I do not. No."

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you wouldn't expect that anyone else would need a photo identification to come to that conclusion as to the vast majority of the people depicted in these magazines, correct?"

And your answer was:

"A I agree."

Is that your testimony in Federal Court in Cleveland?

MR. BLADUELL: Objection, Your Honor. No -- in no way inconsistent with what Dr. Biro testified.

THE COURT: The question is, did you give that testimony?

THE WITNESS: I did provide that testimony, yes.

BY MR. MURRAY:

Q All right. Now you've testified in direct examination, and I think you included in your report the fact that you reviewed approximately 150 individuals who appeared in plaintiffs' images provided by the Government, correct?

A Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

25

Q And that roughly 50 percent of the images are relatively young individuals who appear to be in their late teens or early twenties, correct?

A Yes, sir.

- Q Okay. So you would agree that roughly 50 percent of the images were not of relatively young individuals who appear to be in their late teen or early twenties?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And I think you indicated you don't know how the Government selected those images, correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- Q And you don't know whether it was an exhaustive list, or just a partial list of the plaintiffs' images, correct?
- 21 A Correct.
  - Q Okay. And so you don't know whether as to any particular plaintiff what you had a opportunity to review is or is not a representative sample of that plaintiff's body of work, correct

A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Q And so you have no information as to what percentage of that particul -- of a particular plaintiff's entire body of work is of adults who could not be confused as minors, for example?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Now, and you're not an expert on the subject of sexually explicit materials generally, are you?

A No, I am not.

- Q Okay. Or the quantity of such materials that are commercially produced, correct?
- 12 A No, I'm not.
- Q Okay. So you have no information to give us as to the percentage -- what percentage of the universe of sexually explicit images of adults are of persons youthful looking enough to be confused as minors?
- 17 A No, I don't.
- 18 Q Okay.
- MR. MURRAY: That's all I have. Thank you, Your
  Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MR. BLADUELL: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. BLADUELL:
- 25∥ Q Dr. Biro, you were asked in cross-examination about an

Biro - Redirect (Bla)

63

article or a letter that Dr. Tanner wrote to some journal,

2 correct?

3

4

5

7

- A Yes, sir.
- Q And in that letter Dr. Tanner said -- criticized the use of maturation stages to determine chronologic age?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
  - Q Okay. Now do you know how Dr. Tanner develops the stages of maturation stage?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And can you explain to us, how did he develop his stages?
- 11 A Yes. He took photographs of the young ladies on, I
- 12 believe an annual basis, but I don't know the exact interval.
- 13 They were living within the orphanage, and then after
- collecting several years worth of photographs, lined up the
- 15 photographs and then categorized what maturation stage they
- 16 were in.
- 17 Q And approximately how many subjects did Dr. Tanner use to
- 18 develop the stages?
- 19 A I believe it was around 120. I mean, it's -- one could
- 20 look it up.
- 21 Q One can look it up. Now in your years of experience
- 22 conducting maturation assessments and examining patients,
- 23 would you say that you have reviewed more -- that you have
- 24 examined more patients then Dr. Tanner did for developing
- 25 those Tanner Stages?

Biro - Redirect (Bla)

1 A Yes, sir.

Q And approximately how many more?

A Between the three major studies and the two ancillary studies that I've been involved with, it's well over 5,000 examinations.

- Q And in each -- and these examinations you were -- you knew the person's age, correct?
- A In the vast majority of the time, yes.
  - Q And you were able to compare that person's age to their
- 10 -- your clinical evaluation of their maturation stage,
- 11 correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 A Yes, sir.
- Q Now just to be clear for the record. In your examination of plaintiffs' images, did you only use the Tanner Stages of
- 15 maturation assessment?
- 16 A No, sir.
- Q And what other factors did you use besides the Tanner
  Maturation Stages?
  - A I used several of the things which I knew changed with pubertal maturation, and I've published in peer review journals these changes. These changes include, waist height ratio, waist to hip ratio, about body composition, about body fat distribution. And one that I've not published on, but looking at these skin folds and skin elasticity and how that changes as one gets older.

- Q Now have you had conversations with Dr. Tanner about refinements to the Tanner Maturation Stages?

  A Yes, sir.
  - Q And what have you -- what have those conversations been about?
  - MR. MURRAY: Objection, Your Honor, that would be hearsay. Just conversations.
  - THE COURT: You say the question is how do they come about?
  - MR. BLADUELL: Why were they -- what did you tell Dr. Tanner about the stages?
  - THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Sir, you can testify to what you said to Dr. Tanner, but you can't testify to what he said back to you.
  - THE WITNESS: Dr. Tanner and I actually exchanged letters to each other. And I described to him the -- for example, in the boy's study, I described to him that there was a six-month period before the appearance of pubic hair that demonstrated that the young man was in pubertal maturation.
  - And I asked him his thoughts about the validity of that finding, and would he go forward and -- would he, if he had that data, go forward and publish it.
- BY MR. BLADUELL:

Q And have your suggestions about refinement to the Tanner Stage been adopted?

A Yes.

Q And how so?

A For example, the textbooks prior to publishing that article talked about either genital staging, or pubic hair staging in boys as the initial manifestation of puberty, but after publication of that article, most textbooks say in fact it is now generally accepted that there is a period of time in which there's an increase in testicular volume before the appearance of pubic hair.

- Q Now you were also asked, Dr. Biro, about paragraph 15 of your report. Which was exhibit 173. About the degree of uncertainty in determining someone's age one to three years for younger adolescents, and two to five years for somewhat older adolescents, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q Now is that degree of uncertainty, one to three years, for younger adolescents, and two to five years for older adolescents, the degree of uncertainty among maturation experts?
  - A I would believe so. I've not conducted a study asking my colleagues what they feel the degree of uncertainty is.
  - Q But you have known of studies that make note of this discrepancy among maturation experts in determining this stage of maturation, correct?
- A Yes, sir.

Q Now would you expect the degree of uncertainty to be larger for average people, than maturation experts?

- A I would expect that to be true. I don't know that to be true. But I would expect that to be true.
- Q Okay. You also were asked as to whether people over the age of 25 would not be confused for minors, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you remember that?
- 9 A Yes, sir.

- 10 Q And you said that that would not be always true?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- Q And can you explain why you said that that would not be always true?
  - A I think that, you know, if somebody was not trying to appear younger or older, there would be a given degree of uncertainty. But I believe that, you know, actors and actresses often try to appear younger or older. We've seen this actually in movies in which somebody can rather convincingly look several years different than what their true biologic age is. So the degree of uncertainty expands if somebody wants it to expand, if somebody wishes to appear young or older then they actually are.
  - Q Okay. Would checking someone's driver's license would be more reliable to determine whether that person is over a particular age, then relying on visual inspection?

Biro - Redirect (Bla)

68

A It would be more valid, certainly, yes.

- Q And you were also asked about your experience in sexually
- 3 -- reviewing sexually explicit depictions, correct?
  - A Yes, sir.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Now you mentioned that as part -- in your -- as a profession at the University of Cincinnati, you conduct -- you taught some courses, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q And was that -- one of those courses in human sexuality?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- Q And can you describe how -- what happened in these courses of -- in human sexuality?
  - A So I was co-director of the course, I provided some lectures for the medical students. I also conducted small group sessions for a group of 10 or 12 students. I did that for several years.
  - And that course discussed sexual health throughout the life span, as well as normal and atypical sexual behaviors.
  - Q And did you review sexual explicit material as part of that course work?
    - A Our first session, actually, was showing pornographic video for an hour and a half to the medical students, to try to desensitize them, so that they would feel more comfortable with discussing these images, yes.

Biro - Recross (Mur)

69

- Now you were also asked about looking at people in this Q room could be confused about their ages, correct?
- Α Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

23

24

25

- Now comparing the individuals in this room to the images that you saw for this report, would you say that the individuals that you saw in your report are on average younger than the individuals in this room?
- Yes, I believe that to be true.

MR. BLADUELL: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any recross?

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- BY MR. MURRAY:
  - Doctor, on redirect you were asked whether or not you would expect the margin of error for estimating ages to be greater in the case of persons other than experts like you in the field. Do you recall that?
- 17 Α Yes, sir.
- Okay. You, however, have no way of knowing, and do not 18 have any information, for example, about how experienced adult 19 20 film producers are in gauging the ages of people who come to them seeking to appear in their films, correct?
- 22 I have no idea. Α
  - So you don't know whether that would be true of them based on their experience with all the actors and actresses who appear in their films, do you?

A No, I do not.

Q And you don't know whether it would be true of photographers who have experience in being attuned to the age ranges of their subjects, do you?

A No, I do not.

Q Okay.

MR. MURRAY: That's all I have. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. All right. We'll take a ten-minute recess at this time. Thank you. It will probably be more like 15 minutes. About how long will the direct be on the next witness?

MR. SWINTON: About a half hour, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.

(Recess taken, 10:56 a.m. to 11:19 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. We're ready to proceed with the next witness.

MR. SWINTON: And, Your Honor, defendant calls Dr. Phillip Stark.

THE COURT: Okay. When we're all done this witness, we'll then have a short luncheon recess, or whatever you want to do, and then we'll have the oral arguments after that.

Okay?

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.

PHILIP BRADFORD STARK, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, AFFIRMED

```
Case 2:09-cv-04607-MMB Document 227 Filed 07/17/13 Page 71 of 177
                         Stark - Voir Dire (Swi)
                                                                   71
               THE CLERK: For the record, state and spell your
 1
 2
     land name.
 3
               THE WITNESS:
                              Philip Bradford Stark, S-T-A-R-K.
 4
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
 5
     BY MR. SWINTON:
 6
          Good morning, Dr. Stark.
     Q
 7
          Good morning.
     Α
          Can you describe for the Court your educational
 8
 9
     background?
10
     Α
          I have a bachelor's degree in philosophy from Princeton.
     I'm a law school dropout. I have a PhD in geophysics from the
11
     University of California, San Diego.
12
13
               THE COURT: You what? Sorry.
               THE WITNESS: A PhD in geophysics from --
14
15
               THE COURT: Geophysics?
16
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
               THE WITNESS: From the University of California, San
18
19
     Diego. And post doctoral training in statistics at the
20
     University of California, Berkeley.
     BY MR. SWINTON:
21
22
          And what do you currently do?
          I'm a professor of statistics and chair for the
23
24
     Department of Statistics at the University of California,
```

Berkeley. And I'm also affiliated faculty in designated

Stark - Voir Dire (Swi)

emphasis and computational science and engineering.

- Q And when did you begin teaching statistics courses?
- A 1988.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q And do you currently teach statistics courses?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
  - Q What courses do you teach?
    - A I teach a variety of courses at all levels from undergraduate introductory courses for psychology, sociology business and economic students, to core courses for a major that are more technical, master's level statistics courses for both students who are studying for masters in statistics, or students in other departments, including social sciences and engineering who need some statistics, and PhD level courses in statistics, which are typically for bio-statistician's or statisticians.
    - Q And you mentioned that you're the -- currently the chair of the Statistics Department at Berkeley?
- 18 A Yes, I am.
- 19 Q And when did you become chair?
- 20 A I've been chair for about a year. I was vice chair for a year before that.
- 22 Q And what does the role of chair entail?
- A It's partly of the running the operation from
  establishing the budget, fund raising, deciding what areas we
  should be hiring new faculty in, figuring out which courses to

teach, what courses to trim.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

Trying to understand the direction the field is going in in order to stay at the top. And my personal goal as chair is to reclaim the number one position from Stanford. We used to be number one until recently.

- Q Now are you an accredited professional statistician?
- A Yes, I am. I have accreditation from the American Statistical Association.
- Q And what does it mean to be an accredited professional statistician?
- A It's just a badge that I have a level of skill and experience in ongoing education contributing to the field.
- Q Do you belong to any other professional organizations?
  - A Yes, I do. I belong to the Institute of Physics. I belong to the Bernoulli Society, which is an international statistical association. I belong to the Institute of Mathematical Statistics.
  - Q And have you written any articles on statistics or statistical methods?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- 21 Q And approximately how many articles have you written?
- 22 A I think I have about 125 publications in all, and all but
  23 a very small number, you know, are -- have statistical
  24 content. They're either developing new statistical methods or
- applying statistics to some substantive field.

Stark - Voir Dire (Swi)

74

Q Were any of these articles in peer reviewed publications?

A Yes. I think seventy-four of my publications were peer reviewed.

- Q And have you ever published in the fields of social science or public policy?
- 6 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

19

- Q And have you ever written any textbook, or textbook chapters on statistics?
  - A Yes, I've written an undergraduate introductory textbook on statistics.
  - Q Have you ever served on the editorial board of a peer review journal?
- 13 A Yes, I've been on the editorial boards of four journals.
- 14 Q Have you ever won any rewards for your work?
- A Yes. I had a National Science Foundation post doctoral fellowship. I had --I was a presidential young investigator, a Miller Research Professor, and I've won some awards for my work on election auditing.
  - The most recent one was from the Chancellor's Award for Public Service for Research in Public Interest.
- Q And, Dr. Stark, have you ever served as a consultant in a lawsuit before?
- 23 A Yes, I have.
- Q And approximately how many times have you been such a consultant?

Stark - Voir Dire (Swi)

75

1 A A couple of dozen.

- Q Have you ever served as a testifying expert?
- 3 A Yes, I have.

2

5

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- 4 Q And on approximately how many occasions have you done so?
  - A It's on the order of 20. I've -- those weren't all --
- they didn't result in testimony. I mean, some cases disappear before even the report gets written.

Some settle after a report is written. I haven't testified nearly that many times.

- Q Have you ever served as an expert report for the Department of Justice?
- 12 A Yes, I have.
- Q And on approximately how many number of occasions have you done so?
  - A I think I've worked on about six or seven cases for the Department of Justice, that's resulted in testimony. And one case before this one. I've written reports in a couple of others.
  - Q Have you ever served as an expert for a party who is adverse to the Federal Government in litigation?
- A Yes. Several times. Actually I'm currently involved in a matter that's adverse to the Federal Government.
- Q On the number of occasions where you served as an expert in litigation, have you ever not been qualified as an expert?
  - A No, I've been qualified in the State and Federal Court,

1 and never not been qualified.

- Q Have you ever testified before any legislative bodies?
- A Yes, I have. I testified to the U.S. House of
  Representatives subcommittee on the census regarding use of
  survey sampling to adjust the census for undercount.

I've testified to the California Senate Committee on natural resources regarding sampling to estimate abalone populations. And I've testified to both the California Senate and the California Assembly on the use of sampling to check election integrity, and verify that notes were tabulated accurately.

- Q And, Dr. Stark, in the present lawsuit are you being compensated for your work?
- 14 A Yes, I am.
- 15 Q And what is your hourly rate for your work in this case?
- 16 A \$1,200

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

- Q And is that amount commensurate with what you've charged for serving as an expert witness in other cases?
- 19 A That's been my rate for all clients since January.

MR. SWINTON: Your Honor, at this time, the defendant hereby tenders Dr. Stark as an expert in the field of statistics.

- THE COURT: The field of?
- 24 MR. SWINTON: Statistics.
- THE COURT: Okay. All right. Cross-examine on

Stark - Direct (Swi)

1 qualifications?

MR. MURRAY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You may proceed as an

expert.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SWINTON:

- Q And, Dr. Stark, in your research have you ever used statistics to estimate a prevalence rate before?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q And when you've estimated a prevalence rate, are there any key considerations to take into account?
- A Yes. If you're in a situation where you can't afford to do a complete census, or a census is impractical, and you need to base an estimate on a sample, there's three primary ingredients to consider.

One is the sampling frame, one is the method by which the sample is drawn, and the other is the response rate. So I can explain those, if you'd like.

- Q So if we take the first one first, what is a sampling frame?
- A The sampling frame is the part of the population or the group of individuals or units that you are actually able to draw a sample from. Typically, when you're talking about people, it's difficult to enumerate everyone in the group that you'd actually like to study, but you might have a list that's

available that overlaps with the population that you'd like to study largely.

It's the list from which you actually draw the sample, is the sampling frame. Typically a sampling frame isn't going to be an exact match to the population you care about, it will be somewhat over inclusive and somewhat under inclusive.

Q And if -- is it possible to extrapolate from the sample population, if there is such a mismatch?

A It introduces bias, the mismatch between the frame and and the population. The extent of that bias depends on the extent of the mismatch. To the extent that the thing you care about agrees between the frame and the population you're sampling from, bias is less of an issue, but it can disagree substantially, it could bias your estimate substantially.

Q And the second factor you mentioned was the sample selection, and I think you said random sample. What is a random sample?

A Random sampling is the touchstone for sampling methods. It's the gold standard, it's the only one that you can actually make quantitative statements about the representativeness and reliability of the results from the sample.

Random in this context is a term of art. In ordinary parlance people talk about random, they use it

synonymously with haphazard, like I heard the most random thing today, or ran into this random person.

That's not what random is for the purpose of random sampling. Random sampling involves deliberate stirring, you actually have to introduce randomness. So to make an analogy to understanding -- picking out whether a pot of soup is too salty, the most efficient way to do it is you stir the soup and then you taste a tablespoon.

So the stirring and then taking a tablespoon amounts to taking a random sample of the soup. But you have to deliberately stir it, that's the randomness.

- Q So when you're studying humans, how do you ensure that you're selecting a random sample?
- A Well you can't really stir people up -- well not literally. So what you typically do is assign an identifier to everybody in the sampling frame, and you stir up the identifiers.

Now how do you stir the identifiers? You typically make a list on a computer and use the computer to draw a random sample from the list. And then those people whose identifiers are drawn, you go and find them and seek to interview them.

It's quite analogous to stirring and taking a spoonful.

Q Is a random sample something different from a sample of

convenience?

A Yes. A sample of convenience isn't a random sample, it's one of the kinds of things that's drawn in distinction to a random sample. A sample of convenience is typically taking those members of the population that are easily accessible to you for whatever reason.

It might be because they present themselves to you, they volunteer. It might be because they're in your classroom. It might be for some other reason. But that's a distinction.

Q Is it possible to extrapolate to a broader population from a sample of convenience?

A Well you can do it. The problem is that you can't figure out how wrong you're likely to be. You can't make any sort of rigorous imprint. So if you assume that the population is like your sample, there's no way to know how far off your estimate is likely to be.

There's no way to construct a margin of error, or a confidence interval, or anything like that. There's no way to quantify the uncertainty.

Q And I think the third factor you mentioned in making a presence estimate is response rate. What's a response rate?

A Especially if we're talking about taking a sample of people, like a sample survey, not everyone who you select in the random sample will be willing to talk to you, or you might

not be able to find them at all.

To the extent that people are unwilling to give data, or you're unable to get data from them, that can introduce bias into the results. The -- especially if they tend to differ from the people -- from -- differ with regard to the characteristic that you care about from the -- you know, from the people who are willing to respond to the results.

That introduces something called non-response bias.

Q And is there an optimal number for a response rate?

A Well you'd like to get 100 percent. In a lot of situations you an get 100 percent. But when you're dealing with people, it's typically hard to get 100 percent. There

There's no bright line.

will typically be some non-response.

Q Is there an acceptable threshold for a response rate?

A There is. People publish recommendations for this in the Federal Judicial Center Reference Manual on Scientific

Evidence, the chapter of Survey Sampling says that if the response rate is above 90 percent, you can generally treat the results of the survey is reliable. It then goes down a scale of various percentages like 75 to 90, and 50 to 75, saying you need more and more skepticism.

And below 50 percent, I think it essentially says that it's not suitable for making quantitative estimates.

Q And, Dr. Stark, are you aware of something called the margin of error?

A Yes.

Q And what's a margin of error?

A Margin of error is a way of quantifying the uncertainty in your estimate having to do with the luck of the draw.

Because you're drawing the sample at random, the luck of the draw enters. I mean, if I were trying to estimate the average, for the sake of argument, age of people in this room, since we're talking about age, I might take five people at random, compute their average age, and that would give me an estimate of the average age of the people in the room.

But if I repeated that procedure, I would probably get a different -- I wouldn't get exactly the same five people, I'd get a slightly different estimate of the average age. The margin of error takes that variability from -- in the sample into account to establish what the uncertainty is due to the luck of the draw.

But it's quantifying the error that's coming from the fact that I'm basing things on a random sample.

- Q Are you aware of something called a confidence interval?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And what's a confidence interval?
  - A It's related to the margin of error. It's another way of quantifying the uncertainty that comes from the luck of the

draw. So a confidence interval is a range of numbers that's constructed in such a way that it has a known large probability of containing the true value of the thing that you're trying to estimate.

Again, the probability there is coming from the random -- the randomness of the sample. It has to be a random sample, or it's not a confidence interval.

- Q So is it possible to have a margin of error or confidence interval if there's no random sample?
- A No, it's possible to go through the motions of computing the numbers as if the sample were random, but the interpretation is completely different, because the margin of error's trying to quantify the luck of the draw from random sampling. The confidence interval is trying to quantify probability that comes from the random sampling.
- Q And, Dr. Stark, have you reviewed the expert report of Dr. Michelle Drouin in this case?
- A Yes, I have.

- Q And have you reviewed the estimate she makes about the prevalence of sexting among 18 to 24 year olds nationwide?
- 21 A Yes, I have.
- Q And do you have an opinion about the statistical basis for that estimate?
- 24 A Yes. I don't see a statistical basis for the estimate.
  - Q And what's the basis for your opinion in that regard?

A Well the two studies that are her primary evidence were based on undergraduates enrolled in the psychology class at a Midwestern university.

They were students who volunteered in return for course credit. It's not -- because that -- that's a sample of convenience. They were students who were available to her for the study. They were students who volunteered on some basis. It's not clear whether they would be representative of other students in the same class.

It's even less clear that they would be representative for the students at the same university in different kinds of courses, or students at other universities, or young adults as a whole for the nation.

Q And these problems that you've identified with Dr.

Drouin's studies of undergraduate psychology students, would those be present in any study using undergraduate psychology students?

If we were talking about something that had to do with human biology where there's reason to believe that people are quite similar, for example, if you were doing a study on reaction time or something -- something like that, then there might be a biological basis for concluding that students in other places, or young adults as a whole are similar to the sample you get as a sample of convenience in an undergraduate psychology class.

But for something involving social behavior, I think that there is big differences between students in different majors, even within the same university, and geographic differences, and I don't see -- there's no -- there's no biological basis for making that generalization, and there's no statistical basis for making the generalization, since the sample isn't a random sample.

- Q And, Dr. Stark, are you aware that Dr. Drouin compared the results of the studies of undergraduate psychology students to three other studies that weren't limited to undergraduate students?
- 12 A Yes, sir.

- Q And are you aware that her estimate about the prevalence of sexting nationwide, is based on where she determined that there was a convergence of the evidence from these six studies?
- 17 A Yes. I'm aware of that.
- Q And is a convergence of the evidence a statistical method?
  - A No. I haven't heard that phrase used as a term of art in anything to do with science or statistics.
  - Q Can you form a reliable estimate by considering prevalence estimates from six different studies?
- A If the studies themselves were reliable, if they were well-grounded, then combining information from them would make

sense, and in principal could give you a more reliable estimate. But if none of them is grounded, the fact that they agree doesn't mean very much. I could give you a story as an analogy.

Q Sure.

A Suppose we want to know how tall the Emperor of China is, we've never see him, so we decide to collect a bunch of evidence. We ask a million Chinese how tall the emperor is. Everybody gives us a number. For the sake of argument, let's say that on average they say he's five foot nine, and that almost everybody gives an answer that's between five foot eight and five foot ten.

So we have convergence of the evidence that the height is between five eight and five ten. If I'm interpreting correctly what Dr. Drouin meant. The problem is that the Emperor lives in the Forbidden City, and nobody's every seen him.

So we have an awful lot of evidence, but none of it is grounded. The fact that it converges doesn't tell us anything new.

- Q And, Dr. Stark, do you recall whether the definition of sexting was the same across all six studies that Dr. Drouin considered?
- A My recollection is that it was not.
  - Q And does the lack of similarity in definition effect the

reliability of her estimate?

A It certainly complicates the matter of making an estimate of -- according to any single definition. If you took sexually suggestive to be an inclusive category that included sexually explicit, then it might be possible to get an estimate for sexually suggestive. But if you're mixing sexually suggestive and sexually explicit together, I don't see how you can get an estimate for sexually explicit.

- Q Now, Dr. Stark, I'm going to show you a document that's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 203. Have you seen this document before?
- 12 A I'm sorry, I'm looking at this?
- 13 Q Yeah.

- 14 A I've seen that page before.
  - Q An I believe this is a study that both Dr. Drouin and Dr. Zimmerman relied on in their -- in making their prevalence estimates.
    - MR. SWINTON: If we could turn to page five of the study, which is Bates Number 2035, and cull out the first two paragraphs under the heading about the survey?
- BY MR. SWINTON::
  - Q Dr. Stark, these paragraphs say that the survey was fielded online to a total of 1,280 respondents. It was conducted by Tru, a global leader in research on teens and twenty something's.

The second paragraph says that:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

"Respondents for this survey were selected from among those who have volunteered to participate in True's online surveys. And that respondents were stratified according to the U.S. Census, and the data had been weighted to reflect the demographic compositions of teens and adults."

Now, Dr. Stark, based on this information can you make a determination about whether this survey is a reliable estimate of the nationwide prevalence of sexting?

Well the -- that disclaimer paragraph says twice that it isn't a random sample. It says first that it was volunteers, so that's a sample of convenience.

And then it repeats it at the bottom that it's not a probability sample. A probability sample and random sample are synonymous. So it's very difficult to know whether this really is representative. There's no reason that it should be, since it isn't a random sample. And there's no way to quantify how far from representative it's likely to be, because it isn't a random sample.

I don't know what the response rate is. I don't know how the people were solicited to volunteer. I don't know how many were involved in actually answering this, but I do know that they weren't a random sample in the first place. And, Dr. Stark, have you reviewed the expert report of Dr. Mark Zimmerman in this case?

Stark - Direct (Swi)

1 A Yes, I have.

Q And have you reviewed the estimate that he makes about the prevalence of sexting among 18 to 24 year olds nationwide?

A Yes, sir.

Q And do you have an opinion about the statistical basis for his estimate?

A Again, I don't think it has a statistical basis.

Q And what's the basis for your opinion?

A Well the method that he used to select the sample is called respondent driven sampling.

THE COURT: Say that slowly.

THE WITNESS: Respondent driven sampling. Or RDS.

And it is a form of a sample of convenience. It's a complicated method, it's an interesting method. But it's kind of like fishing. Where you fish for candidates for your survey with \$20 bills, and then you ask them -- you pay them to go do more fishing for you by paying them for other people whom they recruit.

This is a little bit like holding out the spoon and seeing what soup jumps into it. There's not any particular reason that this should give a representative sample. It's not -- it's certainly not a random sample.

BY MR. SWINTON:

Q And, Dr. Stark, are you aware that Dr. Zimmerman obtained prevalence rates for the use of certain types of drugs from

the participants he selected using RDS?

A Yes.

Q And are you aware that many of the drug use prevalence rates were very similar to prevalence rates from a highly regarded national survey on drug use?

A Yes.

Q And does the similarity in these rates increase the reliability of the findings from Dr. Zimmerman's sexting study?

A No, I think it cuts the other way. If the estimates hadn't agreed, that would have been a red flag that his est -- that his other variables were likely to be unrepresentative, as well. But the fact that it agrees on one measure, doesn't give you any confidence that it agrees on other measures.

There's a very famous examples -- counter examples to that assumption.

Q And what are those counter examples that you mention?

A Well one of the ones that gets discussed in statistic classes a lot is from Shere Hite's study on women and love from the 1980's, I think. She took a nationwide survey and had roughly 5,000 respondents, if I recall correctly.

Those respondents matched -- the women matched the national demographics of women quite accurately in terms of their age distribution, their ethnicity, their income distribution, where they lived whether it was urban, suburban

or rural. So it was a beautiful match to the demography, but for the quantity that she was actually interested in, which was people's happiness and satisfaction in their relationships, it was way off.

Because the thing that she was trying to measure was related to people's propensity to respond to the survey.

- Q Dr. Stark, are you aware that Dr. Zimmerman weighted and stratified the data in the sexting study to make it more similar to the demographic characteristics in the national population?
- A Yes.

- Q And does this weighting and stratification increase the reliability of Dr. Zimmerman's sexting findings?
- A It might, it might not. It's sort of impossible to tell. The fact that the demographics didn't match in the first place, is an indication that his sample wasn't represent -- the sample itself wasn't representative of the -- of young -- U.S. young adults.

Whether the, you know, adjustment of the data after the fact to try to bring the demographics in line helps or hurts, is hard to know. But even if the demographics had agreed, the example of Hite's study on women in love shows that matching the demographics doesn't give you any kind of guarantee that you match on the characteristic that you're -- that you care about, the reason that you're doing the study.

Q And are you aware that Dr. Zimmerman offered to discount his estimate by as much as 50 percent to demonstrate that the number of people sexting nationwide is large, even if his estimate isn't precise?

A Yes.

Q And is there a statistical basis for this type of discounting?

A Well if he had started with a random sample, then he could have done the discounting in order to construct a confidence interval, so that we could have high confidence that the true value the true prevalence of sexting is above that lower number that he gives.

But since the sample he started with isn't a random sample, there's no way to know how much you have to discount it in order to get a conservative estimate of the rate of sexting.

It might be 50 percent, it might be 90 percent, it might be 99 percent, there's no way to know.

- Q And, Dr. Stark, I'm going to show you a document that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 37-HHHH. Do you recognize this document?
- A I recognize this page, yes.

MR. SWINTON: If we could call out the summary paragraph at the top middle of the page?

BY MR. SWINTON:

Q So, Dr. Stark, this summary paragraph says that for the study data were collected through an on-line questionnaire in Swedish administered through the Swedish web portal Passager.se. Out of the total sample of 1,828 participants, almost a third, both men and women, reported to have engaged in cybersex.

Now, Dr. Stark, can you use the findings from this study to reliably estimate the number of Americans who are engaging in cybersex?

A Only if you assume that Americans as a whole are like that subset of the Swedish population that uses this web portal, and assuming that this accurate represents what happens at that web portal.

MR. SWINTON: And if we could go to the second page of this study. And if we could call out the paragraph in the left-hand column that starts with the word "Mostly."

BY MR. SWINTON:

Q Dr. Stark, this paragraph says that:

"Mostly cybersex is a real time event involving two persons who are typing each other messages using a chat client like ICQ or Microsoft Messenger. In other cases, a couple may find or create themselves a chat room in cyberspace where this interaction takes place.

"Some even exchange pictures or short movies of themselves, or erotic pictures and movies found on the web to

accompany the otherwise text based communication."

Dr. Stark, do you know form this study the amount of cybersex that involved the exchange of images?

A No. This suggests that it's a small fraction of it, but it doesn't give any quantitative estimate.

MR. SWINTON: And if we could go to the third page of this study and call out the paragraph under the heading procedures.

## BY MR. SWINTON:

Q And this paragraph says that the questionnaire was launched through a Swedish portal site called Passager, and that a banner was placed on the website for approximately two weeks and appeared randomly on the portal, as well as on its sub-sites. There was no way to control where the banner would appear.

And it was not possible to predict for whom the banner would show. Thus, for all practical purposes, its appearance was truly random according to the Passager administrators. So, Dr. Stark, is this an example of the random sample that you were discussing earlier?

A Possibly, sort of. It's hard to tell. From the description, it sounds like they're confusing haphazard with random. That just because it's unpredictable doesn't mean that it's equally likely for any given individual -- any individual page view to include the banner or not.

But even if you grant that it was displayed at random, that's not a guarantee that the people who respond to the ad correspond to a random sample of those people who used the portal during that two-week period.

It's certainly a very different sampling frame from the Swedish population or the U.S. population.

- Q Dr. Stark, I'm going to show you another document, this one's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 37-PPPP. Do you recognize this document?
- A I've seen this page, yes.

MR. SWINTON: And if we could cull out the first two paragraphs in the article below the image.

BY MR. SWINTON:

Q So this is a Today Show tech blog post that's summarizing the findings of a sexting study. And the last sentence in the first paragraph says:

"That nearly one in five Americans who have a smart phone say that they have used it for sexting. Sharing explicit photos or text messages with others."

It says that this -- in the second paragraph it says that:

"The survey was commissioned by Lookout Mobile Security and was conducted using a Harris Interactive Poll."

So, Dr. Stark, do you have a sufficient amount of information from this blog post to reliably estimate the

1 prevalence of sexting nationwide?

A No. Not from this post alone. I would need to understand in detail how Harris Interactive does its polling. How it solicits its -- the group of people for the sample, what the response rate was, so forth and so on.

Q And do you have enough information from this blog post to know the types of images that participants in the study were exchanging?

A No. It says explicit, but it looks like explicit photos and text messages are being lumped together, so it's not possible to know what fraction of those were -- had to do with explicit images.

MR. SWINTON: Your Honor, at this time I have no further questions. We move to admit Defense Exhibit 197 from Bates page 2898 to 2968, which is the Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Stark.

THE COURT: It will be admitted. Okay. Cross-exam.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## 19 BY MR. MURRAY:

- Q Dr. Stark, I think you indicated -- did I understand you to say that your hourly rate is \$1,200 an hour?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And is that the same for trial as it is for preparing your report?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

I read documents on the flight, things like that.

Stark - Cross (Mur)

97

1 Q Okay. And then do you charge for travel time as well?

A I typically try to use the travel time to do work related to the case and charge for that fraction that I'm on task, so

I am uncomfortable charging for time that I'm doing something else.

- Q And how long has that been your rate? You mentioned, I think, only since January?
- A I raised my rate from \$1,000 to \$1,200 on January 1st.
- Q Okay. Now if I understand your testimony today, you were primarily focused upon the studies that Dr. Drouin and Dr.
- 12 Zimmerman did?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

25

And giving us the benefit of your criticisms of the conclusions that they tried to draw from them, is that an accurate statement of at least part of what you testified to?

- A Yes, I think that my testimony has been largely about what there were -- I understand the reports to have relied on.
- Q Okay. Now you haven't studied the subject yourself, have you? Sexting.
- 20 A Sexting? No I have not.
- 21 Q You've heard about it, though?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q It's been in the news?
- 24 A Yes.
  - Q Okay. Do you remember ever seeing that Samsung

commercial with the -- with the wife and the two kinds sending the husband off to his trip, and the wife clicks the -- her phone with his smart phone and says, you better not open this on the plane? Have you seen that commercial?

A I actually don't watch television.

Q Okay. All right. But you certainly have no reason to believe that sexting is an isolated phenomenon, just based on the reports that you've seen, correct?

A No.

MR. SWINTON: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I don't have an opinion.

BY MR. MURRAY:

- Q Okay. Now it is true that both Dr. Drouin and Dr. Zimmerman's studies were published in peer reviewed journals, correct?
- A Yes. I think one might have been in press at the time that it was attached to this, but I think they were both in --yes.
- Q Okay. And I think that your main criticism, if I understood it correctly, was their use of convenience samples, or in the case of Dr. Zimmerman a form of convenience sample known as a respondent driven sample, as distinguished from a random sample, correct?
- A My main criticism is using samples of that type to

extrapolate from their study population to all U.S. young adults.

- Q And that's because they didn't use a random sample, but instead used either a convenience sample, or a form of a convenience sample known as a respondent driven sample, correct?
- A Yes. Generalizing beyond their sample is problematic because of the nature of the sample.
- Q Okay. And if I understand correctly, you're saying that no reliable conclusions then can be drawn from any study, unless it came from a random sample survey, is that correct?
- 12 A No, sir. That's not what I intended to say.
- Q Okay. Does Gallup generally use random samples in their surveys?
- 15 A Yes. It does.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

- Q And does Harris generally use random samples in their surveys?
  - A My understanding is that some of the Harris polls use random samples, and some use samples of convenience, depending on what the mode of interview is.
- Q Okay. Now you were asked about Plaintiff's Exhibit
  37-PPPP. And that is a report that was publicized about a
  Harris Interactive Survey, correct?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Now you would at least agree with me that Harris is a

100

- 1 respected pollstering company, correct?
- 2 A Yes, they are.
  - Q And they've been around for many, many years, correct?
- 4 A Yes, sir.

3

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

- Q Have you ever used them for -- in any research that you've done?
- 7 A No, I have not.
- Q Have you ever reviewed any of their surveys in connection
  with any of your research?
- 10 A I'm not sure what you mean by reviewed.
- 11 Q Look at their -- actually seen any of their surveys and results?
  - A Well in this particular case, I looked up how the Harris Interactive poll was done. It's not a random sample, it's a sample of convenience. It's designed to be something that's fast and cheap to get a, you know, to get a quick look which has an unknown accuracy.
    - It's very different --
- 19 Q How did you look it up?
- 20 A I'm sorry?
- 21 Q How did you look it up?
- A I went to Harris's website and explored their description of their data products and the services that they offer.
- Q Well did they on their website, say, report this study?
- 25 A I don't specifically recall that study, but the Harris

101

Interactive poll is a tool that they make available to people to get quick inexpensive answers to questions.

- Q Well is it your testimony that the website says that their Harris Interactive poll never uses random sampling?
- A I don't recall specifically. I -- my general recollection is that it is not based on a random sample.
- Q And that their website makes that representation?
- A I found the information online.
- Q Okay.
- 10 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q But you didn't find any information about specifically
  whether the survey in Plaintiff's Exhibit 37-PPPP was a random
  sample or not, or did you?
- 14 A Honestly, right now, I don't recall.
  - Q In any case, the Harris Interactive poll indicated that nearly one in five Americans who have smart phones say they have used it for sexting, sharing explicit photos or text messages with others, correct?
  - A Yes, sir, that's what it says.
    - Q It also indicates that the biggest age group for sexting are 18 to 34 year old men, 32 percent. And 35 to 44 year old women, 25 percent.
- MR. SWINTON: Your Honor, as we noted on Friday, we object to this exhibit coming in as evidence, because this is hearsay.

102

THE COURT: Overruled. It's cross-examination. Do you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: I see that there on the page, yes.

BY MR. MURRAY:

- Q Okay. And the poll was of 2,097 adults, correct?
- 6 A That's what it says, yes.
  - Q And it says that more than a quarter of adults admitted "taking or receiving explicit photos with their smart phones."

    Correct?
- 10 A Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

- Q But as expected 18 to 13 year old smart phone owners send or receive explicit pictures and/or videos much more than their older counterparts, with 40 percent doing so, correct?
- 14 A That's what it says, yes.
- Q And yet it's still not just for the very young, because
  even one in ten people age 55 and older with smart phones said
  they also sexted, correct?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- Q And then they also said that when it came to videos
  instead of just pictures, 11 percent of Americans said they
  record explicit videos on their phones?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- Q Now let me ask you something. There's going to be a presidential election in 2016, correct?
- 25 A I think so.

Q Okay. Without doing a random sampling poll, can you tell me with some degree of certainty that in that election millions of voters will vote for the Republican candidate?

A Yes.

- Q And can you tell me that without doing a random sample polling that millions of Americans will vote for the Democratic candidate?
- A Yes. That's not a statistical conclusion, that's just commonsense.
- Q Well but it's statistics, it's millions of people are going to vote for the Republican candidate, isn't that a number? Isn't that a quantity?
- A There's two different senses of the word statistics. There's statistics, as in numbers that get reported in the press, baseball scores, things like that. And then there's statistics, the discipline, the scientific study of data and so forth. So it's statistic in the first sense, it's a number.

But it's not statistics in the second sense, it's not data based, it's commonsense, it's not the application of the rules of statistics.

Q Well and yet Gallup and Harris are paid lots of money every presidential election year to do random sample polling in order to quantify with great precision who's going to vote for whom, don't they?

A They're paid large amounts of money to attempt to quantify it. I'm not sure that the precision always turns out to be that great. And, yes, they're paid to do polls taking random samples.

Okay. But if the question at issue were whether or not

millions of people are going to vote for the Republican candidate, you wouldn't need to do a random sample survey to arrive at a reliable conclusion, would you agree with that?

A I could arrive at something that I feel quite confident about as an ordinary human being without taking a random sample, and doing Statistics, with a capital S, but that conclusion is not a Statistical, with a capital S, conclusion.

I can't quantify the uncertainty in that in any statistically meaningful way. But, yes, as a commonsense proposition, I'm quite confident that millions of people will vote for both parties.

Q Okay. Now I want to ask you something about your report, Doctor. In it, and this is page five of your report. Do you see that you have a section in your report that quotes the reference guide on survey research in the <a href="Federal Judicial">Federal Judicial</a>
Center Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence?

A Yes, sir.

O

Q And I think you referenced that manual in your direct testimony on direct examination?

A Yes, sir.

105

Q And one of the things that that reference guide for the Federal Judicial Center states, according to your report, is that:

"Quantitative values computed from samples of convenience should be used as rough indicators rather than as precise quantitative estimates."

Correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Now I'm going to get back to them in a minute. But do you see that just before the quote that I just read to you, you have three dots?
- 12 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q And is that because you omitted language that preceded that quote?
- 15 A It's intended to be an ellipsis mark, yes.
- Q Okay. I want to show you from the -- well you cite pages
  17 242 to 244 of that, correct?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q I want to show you page 244 of that manual. Do you see 20 that it's the reference manual on scientific evidence?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- Q And just looking at the cover page, it's the second edition, which is 2000. The year 2000?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And that's the one that you were quoting from?

- 1 A I believe so.
  - Q We can put it back up there.
- A I have a couple of different copies of this at home.
  - Q You see 2000, reference guide?
  - A Yes.

Q Okay. Now if you look at page 244 where that quote about quantity came, the manual says this, does it not?

"Although probability samples..."

And I'm reading from the first -- actually the second -- the new paragraph.

"Although probability sample surveys often are conducted in organizational settings, the recommended sampling approach and the academic and Government publications on surveys probability sample surveys can be expensive when in-person interviews are required, and the targeted population is disbursed widely, or qualified respondents are scarce."

Correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q It then goes on to say then:

"A majority of the consumer surveys conducted for Lanham Act litigation, present results from non-probability convenience samples. They are admitted into evidence based on the argument that non-probability sampling is used widely in marketing research, and that 'results of these studies are used by major American companies in making decisions of

1 considerable importance.'"

A Yes, sir.

- Q And that's all true, isn't it?
- A To the best of my knowledge that's true.
  - Q So major American companies make big economic decisions based on convenience samples, according to that article, correct?
  - A They risk their money on the basis of a convenience sample.
- Q Now getting back then to the part of the quote that you did have in your report, it says here:

"Quantitative values computed from samples of convenience should be viewed as rough indicators, rather then as precise quantitative estimates."

Correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q So according to the Federal Judicial Center that you're quoting, a convenience sample can give us some valuable information as a rough indicator, so long as we're not concerned with precise quantification, isn't that true?
- A I think it says that it -- valuable, I didn't see in the quote. Rough, I don't know exactly what rough means. But that's what the words say.
- Q Well that's what you quoted from that Federal manual, correct?

A I didn't quote the piece about rough estimates, you brought up the piece about rough estimates.

- Q Rough indicators.
- A Oh rough -- I'm sorry rough indicators. Yes rough indicators.
- Q Didn't you quote that part?
- A Yes.

- Q Okay. So just for example, the question isn't the precise number of Americans who are sexting, but just a rough indication of it, then samples of convenience, according to that document, might be able to give us some information on that subject, correct?
- A Again, the problem is that there's no way to quantify the likely error in making the estimate on the basis of a sample of convenience. So the word rough doesn't say that it's good to within 10 percent, 20 percent, 50 percent, 90 percent.

It's not a quantitative statement, and there's no way to make a quantitative statement on the basis of a sample of convenience.

- Q So you disagree then with -- with what you just quoted, that a convenience sample shouldn't have used as a rough indicator, rather than as a precise quantitative estimate?
- A I agree with the quotation. The issue is, what does rough mean?
- 25 Q Okay. Well I'm not asking you for a definition of rough,

Stark - Cross (Mur)

109

but I'm just asking whether you agree that according to the manual that you cite convenience samples can be viewed as rough indicators of the question that is being examined?

A Yes, sir I agree that that's what it says.

MR. SWINTON: Asked and answered, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. MURRAY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Now there was one other thing about your report that I found somewhat interesting. And that is when you were talking about Dr. Drouin's report, you had a whole paragraph there about your own experience at various universities, in various capacities for more than 36 years, is that correct?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q And how you had taken courses at seven universities and lectured at many others, and taught in various universities.

    Is that correct?
- 16 Is that correct
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q And then you said:
  - "Firsthand experience tells me that on the whole psychology majors are not exactly like philosophy majors, physics majors, math majors, et cetera, and that students at one university are not exactly like the others."
    - You see all that?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Now you're one university professor, correct?

A I am.

Q And if one were trying to answer the question of whether or not undergraduate majors in philosophy, and physics, and math, and economics are the same or different on various matters, you would need to sample more than one person, wouldn't you?

- A I think that it's not the right way to frame the issue.
- Q Well if --
- A The issue is --
- Q Could you answer that question? If I wanted to find out the answer to the question of whether or not psychology majors are not exactly like philosophy majors, for example, I would need to ask more then one person in my survey, would I not?
- A You would need to ask more then one student. You would need to have experience with more than one student. You can't see that there's a difference between the students unless you've seen more then one student.
- Q Well if I wanted to know what university professors who had had experience in a wide range of universities believed about whether or not philosophy majors are the same as economics majors with respect to certain attitudes, could I rely upon a sample of one university professor in order to arrive at that answer?
- A If you wanted to do an opinion poll of university professors, you would need to do an opinion poll of university

Stark - Cross (Mur)

111

1 professors.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Right. And a sample of one, would not do would it?
- A sample of one would not give a reliable estimate of what university professors as a whole think. You would need a random sample of university professors, if you were interested in the distribution of their opinions.
- Q Now let me ask you this, Doctor, the -- you had mentioned that you testified for the -- you've worked for the Department of Justice before, correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q In I can't remember how many cases, but at least a couple, correct?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And one of the cases that you worked on was in the COPA case, the Child Online Protection Act --
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q -- challenge?
- 18 A In fact, I think it was tried in -- not before Your 19 Honor, but in this District Court.
- 20 THE COURT: Judge Reed. Yes.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 22 BY MR. MURRAY:
- Q Correct? And you were asked by the Government in that

  case to do a study of the quantity of sexually explicit

  material available on the web, and to determine the extent to

Stark - Cross (Mur)

which filters could be used to block that material. Was that essentially what you were asked to do?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And that took a lot of work to do that, didn't it?
- 5 A Yes.

- Q And just -- can you just give us an estimate as to how much that work cost to get the answer to that question?
- A I have no idea.
- Q Was it hundreds of thousands of dollars?
- 10 A I'm sure it was.
  - Q Okay. And how much money would it cost to do a properly constructed random sample, approximately, of adults in the United States on the question of the prevalence of sexting?
  - MR. SWINTON: Objection, Your Honor, this is irrelevant and speculative.
  - THE COURT: Well he -- if you have any idea, you can answer.

THE WITNESS: There are many ways one could go about doing it. One would be to piggyback it onto one of the regular probability samples that's done, like the National Research Center at the University of Chicago conducts roughly annual general societal surveys, which have been used to measure online behavior.

So adding a question or two to that survey would be a very inexpensive way to go about -- to go about doing it.

Stark - Redirect (Swi)

113

BY MR. MURRAY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Well then let me ask you this. In this case, did the Government ask you to conduct such a survey so that the quantity of adult Americans who in fact do engage in sexting could be reliably and precisely ascertained?

A No, they did not.

MR. MURRAY: May I have a moment, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Pause)

MR. MURRAY: I have no further questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MR. SWINTON: Just a few questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SWINTON:

Q Dr. Stark, I'd just like to take one more look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 37-PPPP. And this is a, as we discussed on direct, this is a Today's Show tech blog post summarizing the survey that was commissioned by Lookout Mobile Security.

Now, Dr. Stark, do you know just on the basis of this blog post whether this was a random sample?

A No.

Q And do you know on the basis of this blog post how sexting was defined in the survey?

A No, sir.

Q And what does it mean that the survey was sponsored by Lookout Mobile Security?

A I suspect they thought it would help their business to have some information out there. My understanding of what Lookout Mobile Security does is provide the ability to locate or wipe the contents of your cell phone if it gets lost. Presumably, if it's generally believed that this a big problem, that would be good for their business. At least their stock.

Q And you were also asked on cross-examination about samples of convenience, and particularly you looked at an excerpt from your expert report discussing that.

If you were to use a sample of convenience in a study, or in making an estimate, would you want to explain why you were using such a sample of convenience?

A I would want to explain why it wasn't practical or possible to do something better. I would include disclaimers explaining that it's not possible to generalize the results reliably.

I believe that Dr. Drouin included such disclaimers in her research papers.

Q And I think you were also asked about on cross the two different types of statistics, kind of in the numerical sense, then also more in the scientific sense. Is a Google search a way of getting a statistic in the scientific sense?

permission to reopen the cross to just ask one question that I

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

I forgot to ask you, Doctor, about the section in your

report where you also quote sampling techniques from Cochran

THE COURT: Sure. Not a problem.

forgot to ask while I was --

BY MR. MURRAY:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Stark - Recross (Mur)

(phonetic) in 2002, do you see that reference?

A Yes, sir.

Q And he says:

"About the only way of examining how good a sample of convenience may be is to find the situation in which the results are known, either for the whole population or for a random sample, and make such -- and make comparisons. Even if a method appears to do well in one such comparison, this does not guarantee that it will do well under different circumstances."

Do you see that?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now and you did mention that Dr. Zimmerman did do that by comparing the answers that he got on drug use to the answers that were given on that subject in a random sample recognized study, do you recall that?

A I'm not sure whether the comparison was to a random sample, but he did make such a comparison between two studies for that variable.

Q Okay.

A But there was no opportunity for him to make a comparison between the sexting rate, the variable of interest, and a, you know, a solid study on that topic. Because to my understanding, there was no such solid study on that topic to make the comparison with.

Q No, I understand that. But if you compare the answers that you got on the questions of drug use, to the answers that were obtained on a recognized national study that was done appropriately, that will give you some indication as to whether or not, at least on those questions, your sample is representative, won't it? Isn't that what this author says?

- A On the issue of drug use.
- Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- A Not on the issue of something else.
  - Q Okay. Now you mentioned that the survey that was done by the Harris Interactive poll was commissioned by a company that has a particular business reason in terms of some application that it offers, correct, on its technology?
- 14 A Yes.
  - Q Okay. And so a company in the United States that wants to make money oftentimes wants to find out how many potential customers there are out there for a particular product of its, correct?
- 19 A Yes, absolutely.
  - Q And so they sometimes commission a study like the one in Plaintiff's Exhibit 37-PPP (sic) to get information about, for example, how many people in America are sexting?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q So that they can make some marketing decisions about whether or not it's a big enough market, for example, and

enough potential customers to invest a sum of money in trying to reach those people, correct?

A Yes.

MR. MURRAY: That's all I have.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Doctor.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Okay. First of all, I would like to give you a break and take one myself. But I'd like to keep it short. Is a half hour enough time before we have the closings?

COUNSEL: Yes, for us it is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So we'll resume at 1:00. Now what I would like to do is give each side 45 minutes. You don't have to take 45 minutes, but you're welcome to -- if you want to reserve -- I have another hearing in a criminal case at 2:30, that's one reason, but you're going to have briefing. And let me just explain -- because I've rethought about the briefing. And this is how I would like it to go.

Now if you want to -- I would rather have 45 minutes basically for you to set forth your view of what I should do with the facts and as the law, but I would prefer -- and I'm not going t dictate it, I'm not going to interrupt you, but I would prefer that you focus most of your time on the facts.

Because you're going to have the opportunity to brief the law, and I'm not going to put any page limits on

Colloguy 119

your initial brief. But here's my thought of where the briefing should go, and it's different then before.

Because I thought about it over the weekend. I would like you both to file simultaneous briefs on all the issues. And I think that -- and when you argue, you're welcome to tell me that any of my factual findings were wrong, or I should reconsider them, or things like that.

But if you don't argue -- and you're welcome to put that in your brief as well. But I would prefer that you let me know as part of the oral argument proposed factual findings that you think are unsupported by the evidence.

Now if I said somebody was honest and you think they were liars, that's going to be hard to convince me that I'm wrong about that, because I think that's something that's completely in my discretion, just like it is with a jury.

But if you think I overemphasized something, or I left something out, I would like to know that. The law is something that I would anticipate would be fully developed in your briefs. And we'll do simultaneous briefing on all the issues.

Now as far as the reply briefs go, here's how I've decided it should be. The Government has the burden of proof, in my view, on the First Amendment issues, and the plaintiffs have the burden of proof on the Fourth Amendment issues.

MS. WYER: Your Honor?

Colloquy 120

THE COURT: Let me just finish. So that's what the law is clearly about, narrow tailoring. So the reply brief, the Government should deal with the First Amendment issue. And on the reply -- and the plaintiffs deal with the Fourth Amendment issue. That would make the most sense to me. Now if anybody -- Ms. Wyer, do you have any violent objections to that?

MS. WYER: Well I just wanted to point out that on the overbreadth claim, the plaintiffs clearly bear the burden on that claim.

THE COURT: Well I don't think that's the law.

There's a case called the <u>Redden</u> case -- on the overbreadth, I don't know that that's right. I think it's more appropriate, given what the Third Circuit did, for the Government to file a reply brief -- on the opening brief, you're welcome to take any position you want. Okay?

But on the reply brief, I would like the Government, the reply brief to be limited to the First Amendment issues, and the plaintiffs' reply brief to be limited to the Fourth Amendment issues.

And I'm going to provide a fairly short time for the reply brief, and I'm going to limit it to 15 pages. All right? Because I -- and I'm going to shorten the time I had in mind.

What I have in mind for timing, and if you have

Colloguy 121

personal problems, or things like that this is unreasonable, now is the time to speak up. Or if you want to think about it with your colleagues on recess and we can -- when we come back at 1:00, you an let me know if this poses some hardship on you.

All right. I would like the initial briefs to be filed by Friday, June 28th. Okay? And I would like the reply briefs to be filed -- now if that is a problem, I could extend that to, you know, Monday, July 1st. But I would rather have them on Friday.

As far as the reply briefs go, I would like them to be filed by Friday July 5th. But if there was a real hardship, I could deal with July 8th. Okay? That's how I think the briefing should go. So talk to your colleagues, and we'll take a recess now and we'll resume at 1:00. Okay?

MS. WYER: Your Honor just one more thing? We wanted to move two additional Exhibits into evidence.

THE COURT: To what?

MS. WYER: We have two additional Exhibits we just wanted to move into evidence.

THE COURT: Exhibits?

MS. WYER: Yeah, they're already among the Exhibits we originally identified but --

THE COURT: All right. Well look I would prefer -- every exhibit that has been used has been admitted. It's just

Colloguy 122

a question of compiling a list and having a copy. Okay? So that's -- I think that's the most expeditious way to do it.

MS. WYER: We just wanted to move Exhibits 14 and 15 into evidence.

THE COURT: Fine. I'll admit anything that's been used in open Court. Thank you. And I'll extend the same to the plaintiffs when we come back. All right. So we'll resume at 1:00. Thank you.

(Recess taken, 12:25 p.m. to 1:06 p.m.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. Janice, we'll -- I promised to give 45 minutes to each side, so we'll be about an hour and a half. So if the lawyers in the criminal case come, just tell them to wait outside or so. Okay?

All right. Have you discussed at all or do you have any views about the briefing schedule?

MR. MURRAY: We're fine with the early schedule you --

THE COURT: All right. June 28th and July 1st for the reply briefs. Is that all right with the Government?

MR. MURRAY: July 5th.

THE COURT: July 5th. Yes. Excuse me. July 5th.

All right. And we'll follow what I said about the reply brief
on the issues limited to 15 pages each. I'm not going to put
any of this in an order.

Okay. All right. Mr. Murray?

MR. MURRAY: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, the first thing I want to do on behalf of my law partner Ms. Baumgardner, and all of our clients, is thank the Court for its careful attention to the evidence.

It has been a true pleasure appearing in front of Your Honor, I've got to tell you, and I know you've given serious consideration to this and we all thank you for that.

THE COURT: I am, and I'm still doing it. I want to thank you for being very well-prepared and representing your clients in the best tradition of the law and advocacy. And it's obviously you're an expert in this field, and I think I've learned a lot. And I have not yet decided how I'm coming out, but I'm interested in hearing what you have to say. Thank you.

MR. MURRAY: Thank you, Your Honor. And I also want to tell you your staff has been most gracious and we feel most welcome and hospitable.

THE COURT: Well that's the way it ought to be.

MR. MURRAY: And I also want to give a special thanks to your law clerk Sarah (phonetic), who I know has worked tirelessly on this case.

THE COURT: Yes. She's still working on it.

MR. MURRAY: And is going to continue to do.

As you have stated several times, this case is a serious one involving serious First and Fourth Amendment

issues. And it's interesting, I think the timing of the trial is auspicious as well. Because more than once, Your Honor has mentioned the efforts to ban James Joyce's novel <u>Ulysses</u>.

And yesterday it was Bloomsday.

THE COURT: Right. And the Rosenbach Museum here in Philadelphia every year sponsors a reading of the whole novel.

MR. MURRAY: Yes.

THE COURT: And my wife and I are always one of the readers.

MR. MURRAY: Wonderful.

THE COURT: And have been for many years.

MR. MURRAY: Well then and so --

THE COURT: So I'm very familiar with it.

MR. MURRAY: Yes. And so the timing of the trial was auspicious as well. At the outset, I want to emphasize that if the Court strikes down 2257 and 2257(a), Congress will not be without a remedy.

They can fix it, they can repair it. They can pass a much more narrowly tailored and less burdensome scheme requiring at least commercial producers, who are primary producers of sexual images, to check ID's and to verify that they're particularly youthful employ -- performers are of age.

It would be somewhat similar to the I-9 requirement for employers when it comes to immigration. So this is not a situation, Your Honor, where, if we were to prevail, that

we're suggesting that Congress could never pass a more narrowly tailored, properly less burdensome law. And no doubt they probably would attempt to remedy it.

I want to begin as the Supreme Court teaches us in <a href="Stevens">Stevens</a>, with a clear delineation of the scope of the Statute in terms of the images that it covers. Because you can't talk about narrow tailoring or overbreadth without first getting an understanding of how broad the language is.

We know that any image that depicts actual or simulated sex acts of any kind are covered, masturbation, sadistic or masochistic abuse, and lascivious exhibition of the genitals, or pubic area all are covered by the Act.

And we're talking about any image. Photographs, pictures, websites, films, videos. And it's important to recognize just how broad the concept of lascivious exhibition of the genitals and pubic hair is. The very first Court to consider 2257, and actually struck it down when it was first passed because it created an unconstitutional presumption, dealt with what was meant by lascivious exhibition of the genitals.

And that Judge wrote that when he parsed it, he ultimately concluded:

"It is fair to conclude that any frontal nude image of a person in what might otherwise be called an erotic pose, is likely to be included as lascivious."

So I think it's fair to say that any nude image of an erotic nature, as opposed to perhaps a nude image in a medical textbook on anatomy, but if you're talking about erotic photos or images of nude people, that it's fair to conclude those people are at risk of it being a lascivious exhibition of the genitals.

And it's even broader then that. Because <u>U.S. v.</u>

<u>Knox</u>, the Third Circuit has already held that lascivious exhibition of the genitals does not require nudity. And that the clothed genitals can come within that term lascivious under this very definition in the child pornography laws.

And the Department of Justice has adopted that very proposition in their interpretation of lascivious exhibition of the genitals in their preamble to the most recent iteration of the regulations.

They specifically reject the notion that nudity is required as a precondition of a -- of an image coming within the term lascivious exhibition. And so we know how broad that term is.

Similarly, when it comes to simulated sex acts, and simulated masturbation, and simulated sadomasochism, the Justice Department in its preamble at page 77-440, again, emphasizes that nudity is not necessarily required in order for something to come within the meaning of simulated sex.

They say the producer of the depiction may arrange

the camera or the body positions to avoid depicting uncovered genitals, breasts or buttocks, yet still cause harm to the putative child by having him or her otherwise realistically appear to be engaging in sexually explicit conduct.

And so when they apply to images of adults, you don't even have to have nudity for purposes of simulated sex.

And so that's just how -- that's how broad the sexual images are that are covered by the law. Now in terms of the coverage of the Statute, Your Honor, that is equally broad, and the Third Circuit has so held.

Whoever, anybody, whoever produces any book, magazine, periodical, film, videotape, digital image, digitally or computer manipulated image, picture or other matter which has the sexual images -- and this is the interstate commerce part that Your Honor asked about -- so long as it contains a sexual image created after November of '90 and is produced in whole or in part with materials which have been mailed or shipped in interstate or foreign commerce.

Now it is -- that's no limitation at all. The interstate commerce. The is not a typical statute that says you got to actually transport the offending image across state lines. The only requirement is that the equipment that you use to take the picture or to create the film had to be in part made from materials that passed interstate commerce.

And I can't imagine a single creation of a single

image that can be accomplished by the use of equipment and technology, that has not been produced in whole or in part with materials which have been mailed or shipped in interstate commerce.

So that's no limitation at all. And the Third Circuit made it clear that it applies to every creation of an image, including private, non-commercial images, even those created by a husband and wife in the privacy of their own home.

And so that's how broad it is. And as Your Honor asked in one of the questions, it is true that when the Statute was first enacted in '88, sexting did not exist, the internet was just on the horizon. We didn't have Facebook, we didn't have Twitter, we didn't have all the technologies that are now available that citizens of the United States use to share information with each other, including sexually explicit images.

But the Statute covers them all. And as we'll be seeing, it renders the Statute substantially overbroad as a consequence.

Now the Third Circuit was pretty clear that the intermediate scrutiny test, I should say they were pretty clear in what they wanted to hear about in terms of the narrow tailoring part of the intermediate scrutiny test. Which of course as Your Honor is correct, the Government bears the

burden of proving that the Statutes are constitutional under intermediate scrutiny, and under the narrow tailoring test.

And the Third Circuit was pretty clear, we cannot accurately compare the amount of -- and this was on their narrow tailoring discussion.

"We cannot on this record, motion to dismiss, accurately compare the amount of plaintiff's constitutionally protected speech that does not implicate the Government's interest in protecting children. For example, speech involving performers who are obviously adults, to the amount of plaintiff's speech that implicates the Government's interest. Example, speech involving performers who are not obviously adults. This comparison is essential to our narrow tailoring analysis."

And so that's the question -- one of the many questions -- several questions that they wanted us to answer by this record.

And it seems to me, Your Honor, that the record is abundantly clear that under that test the, Statutes flunk narrow tailoring.

We had two experts who opined on that very question. We had the Government's expert, Dr. Dines, and we had Dr. Linz, the plaintiff's expert. Dr. Dines was of the view that one-third of the commercially produced material would be in the confusing area.

Which means that two-thirds of it is non-confusing material, where it's apparent that the performers are obviously adults. Dr. Linz puts the percentage much higher than 67 percent. And, of course, we had Dr. Biro's testimony that is totally consistent with that, because he agrees, look, ages 12 to 13 and younger, nobody's going to confuse them as adults.

That's not what the Statute was directed at. And generally speaking people over the age 25 are not going to be confused as minors, with some limited exceptions. And so the confusing range, according to Dr. Biro, is basically ages 14 to 24.

And so that's pretty consistent with what the analysis of both experts, when you put that together with them. So we have a situation where the only thing the record shows right now is that at least two-thirds of the commercially produced, sexually explicit material, is of people who are obviously adults when you look at the material.

And probably it's higher then that. But by any version of narrow tailoring, no matter how you define it, whether it's under the <u>Redden</u> test, whether it's under <u>Ward</u>, the Rock versus -- the rock concert case, whether it's the Third Circuit's opinion in this case.

The question is, does the Statute burden substantially more constitutionally protected speech then is

necessary? Now matter how you cut it, if it burdens at least two-thirds of the regulated speech unnecessarily, based on the Third Circuit's formulated test, I don't know how anyone could come to the conclusion that it is narrowly tailored.

It flunks every narrow tailoring test that one can imagine. It doesn't do any better when you take it to the as applied standard as well. When you look at particular plaintiffs. There, the Third Circuit wrote and I'll quote:

"That the statute may be narrowly tailored..."

Interesting that they said may, not for certain, but

"... may be narrowly tailored as applied to a particular plaintiff, if the particular plaintiff produces 'depictions of predominantly youthful looking performers.'"

So the question is, in any given plaintiff's body of work, does it predominantly depict youthful looking performers, or does it predominantly depict person who are obviously adults?

And for that, one of the things we can turn to is the Government's analysis -- and we can't do it at the moment. But I'll do it orally. You may recall they have a chart that their paralegal prepared, based on some of the discovery materials.

Betty Dodson --

THE COURT: Does that have an exhibit number?

MR. MURRAY: Yes. That's Defendant's Exhibit 314A.

Betty Dodson, as a plaintiff. Seventy-five percent of her material is of persons over the age of 25. Even 55 percent of her material is of persons over the age of 30. Even Marie

Levine, 67 percent -- 66 percent of her material is of persons over the age of -- of 25. And even -- almost 60 percent is over 30.

Mr. Levingston, 56 percent of his images are of persons over 25. They analyzed Barbara Nitke, and there were 63 percent of her images over the age of 25. Sinclair Institute, again, for their primary producer materials. Seventy-nine percent over the age of 25. Almost 67 percent over the age of 30. Vivid Entertainment, now this one is significant, Your Honor, because Vivid is one of the major producers of adult films. And they provided a rep -- you know, some sampling of their material. And they do exclusively the adult films.

Not necessarily for educational value, but just the adult films. And even in their case, 60 percent of their images were of persons over the age of 25. Which, again, is -- it seems to me very significant.

They didn't provide any figures for David Steinberg or for Barbara Alper. But when you look at Barbara Alper's body of work, which is in evidence, nearly all of it is, of obvious adults. And David Steinberg's body of work is now in

1 evidence.

And he calculated it as follows. And anybody can check his arithmetic, because the exhibit is in evidence from which he gained it. Eighty-eight percent of the images he produced are of people over 25. Seventy-six percent of the images are of people over 30. And even 45 percent are of people over 40. There were only three people that he had ever depicted who were age 19.

And so clearly the -- as applied challenges of those plaintiffs are meritorious as well. Now let's talk briefly about the overbreadth question. Because, again, the Third Circuit gives us two paths by which to determine whether this Statute is unconstitutionally overbroad. Each of them independent. If one of them is met, it's overbroad.

But if the other one is met it's also overbroad.

The first test is the same one pretty much is narrow -- narrow tailoring. Namely, how much material out there is of obvious adults, compared to how much material is out there of youthful looking actors and actresses who could be confused as minors.

And the same evidence that supports a finding of unconstitutionality under narrow tailoring, supports the same finding on the overbreadth as well. But there's a second way that the Statute would be overbroad.

And that is, plaintiff should be permitted to develop the record as to whether the statutes are

unconstitutionally overbroad, based on their purported regulation of purely private conduct.

And that's an independent, separate path to unconstitutionality. Now this record -- keep in mind, Your Honor, and we'll reiterate this again in our briefs.

Overbreadth usually isn't determined because people have in evidence. When you go back and you read Stevens, they thought it overbroad based on examples given by amicus in the Supreme Court as to how it would apply.

And the Third Circuit talks about how in determining overbreadth you have to come up with reasonable hypothetical's. This is one of the rare cases where we actually put in evidence at the trial Court record of overbreadth rather than just give hypothetical examples.

And so we put in all this evidence of sexting. And, yes, they complain that the -- that the studies weren't done by random sample, and they complain that the definitions used were not a perfect match with the Statute.

But the one thing those surveys and the other evidence shows, is there are millions of young adults, let alone adults over the age of 24, which have sent or received a sexually explicit sext message.

Yes there are other messages that are sexually suggestive, nude, or nearly nude that might not be captured under the Statute, but one thing is clear is that there is a

substantial amount of it. It's got to be in the millions of just young adults.

And then we had Dr. Linz come in. And he's been studying this stuff for 30 years. And we showed him all the massive evidence that we have accumulated in Plaintiff's Exhibit 37 and Plaintiff's Exhibit 116. And he confirms tens and tens of millions of Americans are sharing sexually explicit images on the various means that technology now makes available. Including the social networking sites, that when you see them, the millions and millions of numbers that they confirm are accruing on, you know, a weekly basis, it's just enormous.

And then you add to that these other tech -- I got to show you just a couple -- well the Elmo's is not on. Just a couple of examples, Judge, of what the record shows on some of these -- here's something called Face Time. And this is a new technology, and we've all maybe seen it on TV.

But you can actually go on your computer now and see the other person at a distant location. And these Face Time computer links are now being used for couples who are separated, the husband is on one place, the wife is in another, and now they're having phone sex where they actually depict it to each other, so they take advantage of technology to, you know, continue their intimate relationship when they're away from each other.

It's there. It's all over the place. This instaporn, is amazing. This instagram, people share images with each other, again, in a private -- private way. The more graphic photos show people masturbating.

While others -- over 135,000 photos. So what happens is, when we go on this Instagram, and you tag terms such as SEXtagram, instaporn, it summons up tens of thousands of images of genitalia and nude or nearly naked men and women posing provocatively in their beds, in bathrooms, or with a partner in a similar state of undress. These are private citizens.

These aren't commercial producers. Then there's information about the rise of virtual sex, there's a Skype -- this is unbelievable, Your Honor.

The Skype, there's a product out that the husband can have one device with him, while he's away from home, and the wife can have another one, and then they can go on this -- on this Wii technology, and they can actually engage in virtual sex by these images to each other.

These are husbands and wives. So the -- and there's more in Plaintiff's Exhibit 37.

THE COURT: Just one question. I understand your argument about husband and wives. But what would you -- where would you draw a line? Do you have to be formally married? Suppose it's a common law marriage? Suppose they're going

Murray - Closing steady? Suppose they're engaged, or they're just casual 1 2 acquaintances? 3 MR. MURRAY: It's all constitutionally protected, 4 Your Honor. If you go back to --5 THE COURT: Well how about Vivid, is that constitutionally protected too? 6 7 MR. MURRAY: Well it's constitutionally protected 8 I mean, no one disputes that. 9 THE COURT: No, I understand that. But you're 10 saying they should be exempt from the law because they're --11 if they -- if Vivid -- if a husband -- I understand -- your 12 strongest argument, and it is a strong argument, is the 13 husband and wife. And your next strongest is a committed couple. 14 Maybe they're not married. But suppose a husband and wife 15 agree to perform for Vivid. And they had sex and then Vivid 16 17 was selling their videos all over the country. Is it still 18 protected? 19 MR. MURRAY: It's not --20 THE COURT: Should the -- is the law unconstitutional as applied to them? 21 22 MR. MURRAY: Yes, but not because it's private 23

speech anymore. The -- you go back to the other way.

24

25

THE COURT: Well how do you draw the line? You're asking me -- I mean, I can just say Congress got this all

messed up, it's unconstitutional. But I think the Third

Circuit would expect me to also have some rational explanation

of where a line could be drawn that is constitutional.

MR. MURRAY: And I think it's drawn when it comes to

this branch of overbreadth, it's got to be non-commercial,

these social networking sites are important because -
THE COURT: So it's just one-on-one. One male and one female? Or could it be two males or two females, but

it's got to be personal expression shared by one citizen with

another, doesn't have to be a married couple. But that's why

suppose there were three people involved?

MR. MURRAY: As long as it's private, non-commercial expression by everyday --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MURRAY: -- or everyday citizens who are not -- THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MURRAY: -- doing it for money, but are just sharing it with like-minded people, I think that's what the Third Circuit means when it walks about private conduct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MURRAY: And you don't have to be married, but it's -- but it certainly affects married couples. But it seems to me that -- because think about it, Your Honor, when you look at these images and you see the millions of people who are posting personal images of a sexually explicit nature

on the websites, not making any money, when you know the millions of people who are sexting with each other, Skyping, all the other suggestions, this law applies to them.

It absolutely, under the Third Circuit's opinion, applies to them. And that means that all these American citizens have to make and keep copies of their ID's, of their own ID's and of their partners. They have to keep all the other records.

They got to put a label on the image before they post it. Before they send it on their cell phone. Before they Skype it to the husband and wife, telling the Government where the records are that can be found that the Government is entitled to search.

And they got to send a letter to the FBI or the Justice Department identifying the 20 hours per week they will be available for inspection. That's what this law, as written, requires. And, yes, it's massively violated. You won't find any in Plaintiff's Exhibit 37 and 116, you won't find any of these couples or these individuals who post these pictures of themselves, who put a label -- they don't know about it, but it applies to them.

And if it's ever enforced and if -- and if the word ever gets out just how broad this law is, who knows what American citizens would do. But the point is, the law on its face as determined by the Third Circuit applies to those many

millions of Americans who aren't in it for the money, have a personal reason to share their images with each other.

And it just seems to me, Your Honor, that under the Third Circuit's test, the statute cannot survive an overbreadth challenge.

Let me turn to the Fourth Amendment for a minute.

We have to begin with the Supreme Court's decision last year

-- last term in <u>U.S. v. Jones</u>. Which held that when the

Government installed a GPS device on the undercarriage of a

Jeep at a time when it was parked in a public lot, and simply recorded where it went. That was a search that violated the Fourth Amendment.

And the Government argued it didn't even implicate the Fourth Amendment, because the defendant there they said had no reasonable expectation of privacy in either the area of the Jeep, which didn't even belong to him, it belonged to his wife, where they put the device.

Nor did he have any reasonable expectation of privacy in where that Jeep went on the public roadway. The Court rejected that, and said it didn't have to even reach that issue, because it was sufficient that the Government intruded on an occupied private property for the purpose of obtaining information.

And if you do that, whether you have a reasonable expectation of privacy or not, the Fourth Amendment applies,

and the warrant and probable cause requirement applies.

Now, here, in every single instance of the 29 of the -- actually there might have been one where because the guy was overseas, the records were mailed in. But 28 inspections, the Government agents, under the authority of a Federal Statute and regulations, occupied and intruded upon private premises, and acquired physical possession of private records that they didn't own, for the purpose of obtaining information.

According to <u>Jones</u>, that's a search. According to <u>Jones</u>, the Fourth Amendment applies. They did it without a warrant, without probable cause. They made copies of these records. They clearly -- they took photographs of the inside of these places. They occupied these places for many hours. And you can't escape the fact, it seems to me, that under <u>Jones</u>, that, by definition, is a search.

And unless the Administrative Search Exception applies, which I'll get to momentarily, they needed a warrant, and they needed probable cause.

Now -- and, you know, they made -- they made much mention of the fact that in three of the places that they said that they inspected the records in the reception area, and in one place in a -- in a larger room.

Your Honor, these were not retail stores, these were business premises, they, to the extent that a member of the

public had a limited invitation to come in and ask the receptionist, can I see so-and-so, or I have some business to transact, these agents weren't acting as members of the public.

No member of the public could have come in and said under color law, I demand that you provide me a place inside your premises where I can examine your business records, even though I have no connection to you.

Logee Sales (phonetic) the Supreme Court's decision in 1979, and we'll give you the citation in the brief, or actually I can give it to you right now, Your Honor. Even a retail store does not give up its constitutional rights to privacy, and that was the case where the town justice went in and there was a warrant.

The warrant turned out to be bad, and the Government says, well, wait a minute, there was no reasonable expectation of privacy in a retail store anyway. And the Supreme Court said, no, no, no, no. The town justice and the police were not there as customers, they didn't pay for watching the films -- it was an obscenity case -- and so they didn't give up their reasonable expectation of privacy.

But these weren't retail stores. If you look at every photograph in Plaintiff's Exhibit 32, it's all there.

There's no place that they occupied that wasn't a place that was private and not available for any John Doe to walk in and

just sit there.

It just -- the pictures are truly worth a thousand words each in this case. And, remember, they inspected at no fewer than six homes or residences. Out of the 28 inspections, six of them were done at home. And they took possession of private records. And Special Agent Lawrence admitted, without any qualification, that without the authority of 2257 to enter without delay and inspect the records, the only way the FBI could have done what they did was by getting a search warrant.

Now as Your Honor pointed out, once they got a search warrant, they might have been able to be a little bit more heavy-handed then they were. They could have worn their raid jackets.

But he admitted that they couldn't have accomplished what they did without a search warrant, if it weren't for 2257. There was a clear expectation of -- reasonable expectation of privacy in these records. They recorded the name, address, alias's, nicknames of all the performers.

There had to be attached to it a copy of the image. In a sense, what we're talking about is, a list of the names, addresses, date of births and nicknames of their employees. The people that they employed. Any business would regard a list of its employees as a private business record.

There's a Sixth Circuit case <a href="McLaughlin v. Kings">McLaughlin v. Kings</a>

Island, 849 Fed 2d 990, Sixth Circuit (1988). OSHA form 200, required to be completed by employers on a Government form. They had a reasonable expectation of privacy in it. And they cite a whole bunch of cases where, even in the case where the records that are maintained are required by some statute, it still satisfies the reasonable expectation of privacy test.

And the Sixth Circuit struck down a regulation that permitted a warrantless inspection of the form 200. It's a case almost right on point, except that in our case we have First Amendment implications as well.

Third party record keeper doesn't cure the problem, Your Honor. First of all, very few people are using them.

And there's good reason for that, and that's because they're costly, but more importantly, as we saw, the regulation says that if -- it doesn't exempt the producer from criminal liability, if the record keeper who's the third party makes a mistake.

And so people are afraid to do it. And, remember, there's not a scienter requirement for the prohibition for the first part of the Statute. 2257(f) says:

"It shall be unlawful, (1) for any person to whom subsection (a) applies..."

That's the record keeping requirement.

"...to fail or create...

Excuse me.

"...to fail to create or maintain the records as required by the law or any regulation."

Every other provision, the next three provisions requires knowingly to do something. But if you don't keep the records properly, in the first place, that's it. They don't even have to prove that you knowingly didn't keep the records. And so they're not going to take the chance of subjecting themselves to criminal liability. In any case, it's still an invasion of the Fourth Amendment, because in that case it's the producer's agent.

And so all that happens is if they go into the private premises of the third-party custodian, they're not only violating the producer's Fourth Amendment rights by going into its agent's premises, they're also violating the third-party record keeper Fourth Amendment rights.

And of course it's the producer's records that are being examined. So -- and the last thing is, you know, it -- if it were an answer to the Fourth Amendment problem, that if you didn't want the Government to be able to search your home or your premises for particular objects or records, you could avoid that result by giving custody to them to some third party.

That would be the effect of upholding this under the Fourth Amendment. So it's just no answer. Finally, Your Honor, the administrative search exception clearly does not

apply. We have Judge Rendell's concurring opinion, which lays out the test.

The majority did not in any way disagree with her analysis. All the majority said was, okay, but since we were on a motion to dismiss, let's wait and see what the record shows before we totally decide that the administrative search exception doesn't apply.

But there's no evidence to overcome the two points that Judge Rendell made. Number one, this is not a closely regulated industry. It's actually no industry at all, when you think about the breadth of the coverage, and who all is covered by this Statute.

But even if it were limited to the adult industry, that's not a pervasively regulated industry. And one of the reasons it isn't is because of the First Amendment. The First Amendment imposes many limitations on the regulation of this industry that are not imposed upon the regulation of other industries.

And the industries that they're talking about are mines, and junkyards, and places like that. So we're not a closely regulated industry here. And, furthermore, they have to show, even if it were, that a dispensing with the warrant requirement is necessary or essential to the regulatory program.

And Your Honor asked the perceptive questions on

that one of both agents. Do you really need to be able to come in without the advance notice that you might require of you use some other process?

And they said no. There's no risk that the records are going to be destroyed. That doesn't do -- that's the worst thing somebody could do. Nor is there any risk that they could manufacture records on short notice that weren't there.

And I think it was Agent Joyner who said the most that could happen is that they might clean up their records a little bit. And he says that would be a wonderful result, because that's what they want.

So it's impossible for the Government to argue that they could meet that element of the administrative search question. And, finally, the ripeness and standing arguments that the Government continues to make fall for all of the reasons outlined in your order of December 5th, 2012 on the exact same set of facts that we're presented with on this record.

They've given us no new evidence. It was all in that motion. They have the affidavit explaining how many searches, when they stopped, why they stopped, the fact that there's no new program. And I won't repeat it, because it's all in Your Honor's opinion. But in each and every instance Your Honor pointed out the heavy burdens that remain.

That give us standing and ripeness.

THE COURT: Mr. Murray, you've got five minutes left.

MR. MURRAY: Okay. So I'll finish up and save four minutes for rebuttal. If I can. But --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MURRAY: -- the one last point I'd want to make on the ripeness is that, if you look at the reg, the current reg and the one that was in effect in '05, they're identical, except for little word changes that really were done to correct grammar and syntax.

The current reg on how you conduct the inspections, is in all virtual respects identical to the reg that was in effect in '05. And if you read that reg, they have to do it the same way. It's not like they can come up with a brand new idea. If they follow the reg, they would do virtually the same thing that they did.

Because the reg lays out all of the things that they did, and commands them to do it. So we're talking about, you know, when they decide to reinspect, and our people have to be available 20 hours a week, they'll do it exactly the same way.

So just, Your Honor, we would request that you declare -- this is a declaratory judgment, and injunction, but we certainly believe we're entitled to a declaration that the statutes and regs are unconstitutional under both the First

and Fourth Amendments. And we also think we're entitled to an injunction against their enforcement. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Ms. Wyer?

MS. WYER: May it please the Court. Your Honor no one here disputes the fact that sexually explicit images of adults can be protected expression under the First Amendment. But that is not the question in this case.

The requirements that plaintiff's are challenging do not ban these images that they're talking about. And instead, all that they do is regulate those who choose to use actual human beings in creating those images.

In doing so, 2257 serves as a prophylactic measure to prevent the creation of child pornography. Which as Your Honor -- in this posture, Your Honor's questions, every plaintiff in this case has affirmed that they abhor. And to put these requirements in place in a way that there is means of finding age verification records for a film, for example, once that film -- once the creator of that film releases it out into the world, and it travels further down the distribution chain.

As Your Honor has recognized, there are a limited number of issues remanded to this Court for factual development, and I will go through the as applied and facial First Amendment claims and as applied Fourth Amendment claim. I first want to note, starting with the as applied First

Amendment claim, that is the only claim where the narrow tailoring issue is part of the analysis. There is no narrow tailoring prong in the overbreadth analysis.

So the Third Circuit's remand on the as applied First Amendment claim was very narrow. It recognized already that the 2257 requirements directly advanced the Government's important interest, and it did not invite this Court to revisit that issue.

Under the narrow tailoring analysis, Mr. Murray mentioned the question that the Third Circuit asked relating to the relative ages of the performers. And for ease of analysis, these plaintiffs can be broken into three groups. First, there are the adult industry plaintiff's, which include Free Speech Coalition, Sinclair Institute, Marie Levine and Thomas Hymes.

Second are the photographer, what you could call the photographer plaintiffs, which include American Society of Media Photographers, David Steinberg, Barbara Nitke, Barbara Alper and David Levingston.

Finally, there are the plaintiffs who claim to be engaged primarily in an educational activity, which consists of Betty Dodson and Carla Moss, and also Carol Queen.

Now starting with the adult industry plaintiffs, I think the Court properly recognized that the burdens that those plaintiffs might face due to 2257 compliance are not

terribly significant when you take into account that they are clearly engaged in commercial production of sexually explicit expression, and compliance with these requirements is a justified cost of doing business when your business involves filming individuals engaged in sexual acts.

In addition, we have heard testimony that the performers in this industry are predominantly youthful. The evidence regarding specific plaintiffs only confirms that none of these plaintiffs -- when you look at the Third Circuit's opinion on narrow tailoring, what you see is the Third Circuit was asking the question whether an exemption could be created for a particular plaintiff on the basis that that plaintiff employs performers that no reasonable person could conclude were minors.

And what it was looking at are the previous opinions in other cases that identified as a possible exception to the universal application of the requirements, something like an illustrated sex manual for the elderly, where it's a product that only uses individuals who could not be confused for minors.

So that kind of thing could be taken out of the whole 2257 regulatory scheme. But none of the plaintiffs in this case present an elderly sex manual situation. The Court was correct in recognizing in its findings that even Sinclair Institute, which purports to be educational, uses a

significant number of youthful looking performers in its videos.

And the numbers that we saw were over 20 percent were 25 or younger, and 34 percent 29 or younger. And the titles of these videos, as Your Honor recognized, show an intent to draw those seeking sexual titillation, and used terms such as teen porn, that appeared to be drawing in individuals who are looking for young looking performers.

The other Free Speech Coalition members that plaintiffs identified, also show use of young performers. The Free Speech Coalition identified David Connors as one of its members. And 47 percent of the performers he identified are 25 or younger. Vivid Video, which plaintiffs mentioned, 41 percent of the performers were 25 or younger.

And so it may not be a majority, it may not be 60 percent, but it is a significant enough amount that it is definitely not in the elderly sex manual category. And that was the category that I think the Third Circuit was really looking at.

Marie Levine, 24 percent were 25 or younger, and 40 percent were 29 or younger. And Thomas Hymes is also part of the adult industry on the media side.

For Thomas Hymes, I think the Court should look at a jurisdictional issue. Because Mr. Hymes makes -- had wanted originally back in 2009, to create the Daily Babylon website,

but since that time he really has not actively maintained that website.

And that -- it has nothing to do with 2257, it's simply because he -- his career just didn't go the way he wanted, and he's back working 60 or 70 hours at AVN, and he simply has not time at this point to be involved in that website, in the way that he had wanted.

Mr. Hymes also presents a situation which I think really carries across all of the plaintiffs, where he has no way of predicting what ages of performers he might use in the future. He has never -- he has never been a producer of sexually explicit images before.

He doesn't know what performers he might want to include in images that he uses -- might use in the future to illustrate his articles.

So it's not-- so going back to the standard of the elderly sex manual situation, you'll also have to take into account that it's not simply a question of looking at the data of the ages of performers that were used in the past, you also have to look at the nature of the work, and whether the ages can be cabined in some way that you have some way of predicting with certainty that that is the age that is going to be used in the future.

So we have these numbers for these adult industry plaintiffs, which show a substantial number of young looking

performers, and there's no way of predicting whether that number might not increase in the future.

But all of these plaintiffs have confirmed that they have nothing against using young looking performers, they're to trying not to use young looking performers. So, again, this is a very different situation from the elderly sex manual category.

Now turning to the photographer plaintiffs. In regard to the ASMP and its members, the Court correctly noted that even if we fully credit ASMP's survey results as identifying 400 out of 7,000 ASMP members who take sexually explicit photographs, that is a very small percentage of ASMP's total membership.

But the numbers could be even more, because the actual question that was used in the survey is not in the record. And nor are the survey results. We simply do to know what the respondents might have -- what question they were answering, or what they were thinking when they responded to that survey.

Or whether their images even fall under sexually explicit depictions that would be subject to 2257. Looking -Mr. Mopsie (phonetic) testified in this case, but he identified no more than a handful. He certainly didn't identify 400 ASMP members who were engaging in works showing sexually explicit conduct subject to 2257. And on the whole,

such photographers, I think Mr. Mopsie acknowledged, did not share any particulars defining characteristics, aside from the fact that they photograph real people engaged in sexual acts, or lascivious display of the genitals.

And, indeed, Mr. Mopsie acknowledged that some ASMP members today are likely members of the adult industry, and who -- category of working photograph, which is ASMP member definition, overlaps with the adult industry category.

Adult industry film makers can be and may be in the future become members of ASMP. The only member of ASMP actually identified as an exemplar of a photographer subject to 2257, other than Barbara Alper, is Craig Morey.

But Morey himself is a perfect example of the overlap between photography, on the one hand, and pornography on the other.

Mr. -- if you look at evidence submitted into the record about Mr. Morey's website, you see that at -- so residents paid memberships to the website in order to view images of young female models in erotic poses showing genitals and engaging in masturbation.

It's hard to distinguish what you see on that website from what you see on a website like Marie Levine, which has the very same structure of membership in order to look at images.

And I'll get to the age breakdown in a minute for

that. We heard from David Steinberg that, regardless of a photographer's intent in taking an image, there's no objective criteria that distinguish what might be considered art, from what might be considered mainstream pornography.

There's no way to define a category, if you were trying to carve out a category of material that could be exempted. But it's impossible to do that just by saying, it's art.

And of course we know that the artistic, or journalistic, or educational nature of an image has nothing to do with whether that image qualifies as child pornography.

It's simply the age of the performer that's the relevant and determinative factor.

It's also clear from the record and the evidence we heard, that fine art photographers are, like Barbara Alper,
Barbara Nitke, and David Steinberg, are engaged in commercial activity when -- not only in other photography that they do, but also when they are making their art.

We heard evidence that Barbara Alper has sold her work -- her art work to magazines, to museums, and to libraries. And David Steinberg and Barbara Nitke have published books of their works. David Remingston and others have exhibited in galleries. And the rule when you exhibit a work in a gallery is that that work is for sale, and the artist certainly hopes that that work will be purchased.

And when Barbara Alper, which Your Honor identified as the only plaintiff who had engaged in any kind of private acts -- photographic or production activity. When she photographed herself and her future husband engaged in sexual activity, she also ended up selling some of those images to the Norwegian Magazine Cupido.

So none of these photographer plaintiffs are engaging in non-commercial conduct when they're making their images that are subject to 2257.

Now focusing on the Third Circuit's question in regard to the photographer category. The evidence, again, does not demonstrate that any of these photographs fall into the adult sex manual category, or use only obviously mature models.

And going back to the question of what obviously mature means. I think the evidence in this case establishes that 25 is not any kind of a magic number when it comes to who might be considered obviously mature.

We have heard from Dr. Linz, who acknowledged that people over 25 could also be confused as minors. We've heard, as we'll point out more clearly in the briefing, we heard Carlin Ross talk about that photograph where the young -- where the man is -- that Dr. Biro also discussed that the Irish looking man with the Irish passport in that photograph, she identified that individual as 17 years old.

In fact, we know from the evidence that we've gotten that that individual was 28 years old. So someone as old as 28, we know from the evidence we've heard here, that a 28-year-old can be confused with someone under 18.

And Dr. Biro said that the range of confusion can be extended based on the attempts that the individual makes to make himself or herself look younger or older.

So when we're talking about who is obviously mature, there's no magic cutoff number there. So going through the plaintiff in the photographer category. We have in the record evidence that plaintiff has provided about Craig Morey, who is the only ASMP member that we have seen in -- that we really have any information about, other than Barbara Alper.

He, as I mentioned, produces photographs that a large number of very youthful looking females, and 61 percent of the sample were individuals aged 25 or younger, and 87 percent were 29 or younger.

And we actually heard Dr. Biro testify this morning about how youthful those individuals appear. Now looking at Barbara Alper's work, we heard her discuss how she does her art work projects and stages, and her current project Smooth Hotel primarily uses models in their early twenties. I think only one of the individuals that she provided information about in that project is over 25 or 26.

And a sample including some of her earlier works

shows that 35 percent of those individuals were 25 or younger, and 45 percent were 29 or younger.

Dr. -- David Steinberg's photo shoot charts, Mr.

Linz mentioned it does include individuals as young as 19.

And when you're looking at images in the way that David

Steinberg is photographing, when there's often couples, he's

photographing couples having sex. So I think it's significant

to point out that there are many instances going through the

photo shoot list where you can see that even though one half

of the couple is older, the other half is significantly

younger.

So you see a 37 year old with a 19 year old. A 39 year old with a 25 year old. And a 73 year old with a 25 year old.

For David Levingston, the information we received has led to the result that 44 percent of his nude models were 25 or younger, and 60 percent were 29 or younger.

And, again, the same -- the same caveat applies, in that none of these photographer plaintiffs suggest that they would limit the ages of performers in future work to some higher cutoff point than 18.

Barbara Nitke acknowledged that, after she finishes her cinema projects, she doesn't know what her future project will be. So I think -- so it would be difficult to extrapolate based on what she's done in the past, what age

range of individuals will appear in her future projects.

David Steinberg has acknowledged that he would not -- he would not refuse to photograph an 18 year old couple engaging in having sex, if he had the opportunity to do so. And David Levingston testified that the work that he anticipates might be subject to 2257, if he had -- if he were less careful about the poses that his models engaged in.

These would be the same models that he's already photographing in those -- so, again, we don't know for certain what age range of models he might use. And Barbara Alper has admitted that she does not know what the ages of the individuals that she might photograph on Fire Island.

Now the category that I mentioned, the educators.

To a large extent, it should be noted both Dodson and Ross, and Carol Queen, are seeking to raise an anonymous speech claim on behalf of third parties who they believe -- they are trying to encourage to send in, or participate in activities involving images of sexually explicit conduct, such as Dodson and Ross's Genital Art Gallery and Carol Queen's Masturbate-A-Thon.

But the question of third parties' interest in engaging in anonymous speech is not properly before the Court on remand, because the Third Circuit has already disposed of that claim in its opinion.

The question also considered that with respect to

Carol Queen there is also a standing issue that still needs to be analyzed. Because Carol Queen testified that she has not -- she's not recorded the Masturbate-A-Thon for the past three years, and that has nothing to do with 2257.

Again, it's simply because she is not using a venue, perhaps she feels that is practicable to divide up the space to have part of the space have a live streaming component. She also acknowledged that the photo club that she describes is inactive, and she is not engaging in artwork, she has not participated in artwork that she described for the past one and a half years.

And all of these individuals, again, have testified that they do not restrict ages of the participants in the Genital Art Gallery, or the Masturbate-A-Thon, on any other component of their work.

Again, this is simply not an elderly sex manual situation. Somewhat unrelated to the ages of individuals appearing in their work, all of the plaintiffs that we have seen here have attempted to identify burdens associated with 2257 compliance.

But the burdens they have identified do not entitle any of these plaintiffs to an exemption from the requirements.

Again, the -- we agree with the Court's assessment --

THE COURT: Okay. Well what -- let me ask you about the husband and wife situation. Now I know you argued in the

Third Circuit that this Statute was not intended to apply to them, and that issue should be ignored.

But the Third Circuit, referring the adoption of constitutional avoidance, acknowledged your argument, but rejected it. What do you think I should do now that we have a factual record? Do you suggest that I can revisit that, or I should continue to ignore it? Mr. Murray says the fact that it applies, shows that it's overbroad per se, to -- that it applies to husband and wives.

If they were to transmit to each other on a cell phone and they don't comply with 2257, that's a crime. Now you argued three years ago that that's -- that I should ignore it, that it should be products for sale.

You argued again in the Third Circuit, they clearly rejected your issue. What do I do about that?

MS. WYER: Okay, Your Honor. Yes. Well the -- I was trying to address the as applied question in that claim, so in regard to that issue, it's significant that there is no plaintiff in this case raising an as applied claim on the basis that they are --

THE COURT: But you're saying this is an as applied claim, or an overbreadth claim?

MS. WYER: Well I'm saying that in this case it cannot be viewed as an as applied claim, because there's no plaintiff with standing to raise such a claim, and there's no

plaintiff who claims to be raising such a claim.

THE COURT: Well I think Barbara Alper raised it. She said this was a -- this man -- that before they were married they had sex that they transmitted images, and they continued to do it after they got married.

MS. WYER: Well I think the answer to that is that her -- she doesn't stand as a individual who is raising that as a basis for her as applied claim, she's --

THE COURT: But she is. But she's raising it. Mr. Murray -- wait a minute. Mr. Murray, as counsel, he's raised -- he's taking that testimony, and he's saying that shows how this is overbroad, whether its facial or as applied, either way, or both. Now what is your answer?

MS. WYER: I think that claim is not in the complaint.

THE COURT: And you -- if you'd rather brief it, that's fine. But this is an issue I need to know the Government's position on.

MS. WYER: Well, first of all, I would say that that claim, an as applied claim based on being a member of a private couple, is not in the complaint, it's never been identified until --

THE COURT: Wait, wait. But you raised it on appeal, the Third Circuit rejected your -- and now we have testimony.

MS. WYER: That was in the context of the overbreadth, so that was in the context of overbreadth. So I will address that question in regard to overbreadth. And the answer is, what we would say is that it should wait for an as applied challenge by someone who is actually raising that claim.

I don't think that claim is properly before the Court as an as applied challenge in this case. In regard to --

THE COURT: Well you would agree that I'm bound by whatever the Third Circuit said. I mean, you could have asked for certiorari, but you didn't, so I'm -- so I'm bound by that rule.

MS. WYER: In regard --

THE COURT: By that holding. Yes.

MS. WYER: That doesn't require a particular result. I think what is significant there is that an overbreadth claim, that rationale -- rationale for an overbreadth claim is the idea that it would chill third parties not before the Court.

And the Court -- so the significant point there is that we have heard testimony from Agent Lawrence, for example, who has acknowledged that there is no way that people who are engaging in truly private conduct, like a private couple making a home video, or engaging in sexting, or a truly

private communication, there's no evidence that that activity has been chilled, for one thing. And there's no reason to expect that that activity is being chilled, or would be chilled.

And so the rationale, the underlying rationale for an overbreadth claim does not justify a holding the Statute facially invalid just on the basis of this private activity. And any overbreadth has to be measured against the plainly legitimate speech of the Statute.

And the overbreadth -- that's why I mentioned before that it's the plaintiffs that have the burden to establish overbreadth, because overbreadth means that you're striking down a law, you're leaving noting standing in regard to this law. You're making it invalid as applied even to perfectly legitimate applications like the entire adult industry would then be relieved from checking driver's licenses, if this law is struck down.

So I think that -- the plainly legitimate sweep of the statute has to be taken into account when you're trying to assess whether, even under the Third Circuit's interpretation, whether the -- whether the existence of private couples making home videos justifies striking down this law on its face.

And in terms of quantification, we have -
THE COURT: Well you're correct, in that that

argument -- that holding of the Third Circuit was in

connection with the narrow tailoring issue. Not the facial overbreadth.

MS. WYER: But I think it's overbreadth.

THE COURT: Go ahead. What?

MS. WYER: Isn't it overbreadth?

THE COURT: No. It's on page 30 and 31 of the slip opinion, which is where they're talking about as applied and narrow tailoring. Well I think both of you ought to address that in your briefs. Go ahead.

MS. WYER: Okay. So well I'll just -- well, okay, I'll come back to this --

THE COURT: You've got 15 minutes.

MS. WYER: Okay. I'll -- I will -- I guess I will address the issue of the burdens in briefing, and I'll go onto overbreadth.

I did just want to mention in regard to some of these requirements, the plaintiffs, some of the burdens that they are describing are not burdens that the Statute or regulations are imposing. It's based on their misunderstanding of the requirements.

So, for example, the labeling requirement, there's nothing in the Statute or regulations that requires -- I think a fair reading of those requirements would not lead one to have to attach a label to an image at the very instant that it's created.

And there has to be some assessment of, when does that image -- when does that label have to be attached? And the rational interpretation of that is, because the labeling requirement is what tells people where the records are located once that production is sent out into the world.

The requirement attaches at the point when the image goes outside the producer's control. So it doesn't mean you have to, as soon as you snap the shutter on a digital camera, but obviously it would be impossible to attach a label at that very instant.

So there has to be some way of taking commonsense and reality into account when you're interpreting that. It doesn't mean that the regulation is invalid, simply because you can imagine some absurd way of applying it that is physically impossible.

So going to overbreadth, the Third Circuit's identified two possible -- two factual questions for this Court to look at. One of those has to do with the ages of the individuals appearing in sexually explicit images, and then the other one has to do with private depictions.

And in both of those instances, as I was explaining, the -- the other side of the question is the plainly legitimate sweep of the Statute. And here the plainly legitimate sweep of these requirements is vast. We agree with the Court's view that there is -- that the evidence that has

been presented shows a strong demand for very youthful looking performers in sexually explicit films and videos.

We have testimony from Gail Dines (phonetic) that teen porn is a very popular category in pornographic compilations and sites. We have Daniel Linz -- plaintiffs expert Daniel Linz, acknowledging the same thing. And we have presented the Court in the evidence that we presented, the screen shots that we presented bolster and confirm what Gail Dines' testified, that it's not only in categories that are identified as teen porn where youthful looking performers appear.

Even in a category labeled, MILF, Gail Dines testified about the scenarios, and in those kinds of videos where it's a mother with a daughter's boyfriend, or, I don't remember the other one, but it involved something where an older woman, in most cases, is with a younger man, or vice versa.

And so that category is not somehow removed from the plainly legitimate sweep of the Statute, that category is part of the plainly legitimate sweep the Statute, because the prevalence of youthful looking performers transcends across virtually every group of sexually explicit images on the internet.

THE COURT: You have ten more minutes.

MS. WYER: Plaintiffs, again, may have proposed --

there seems to be some thought of a cutoff age. But as I was mentioning, 25 is not a magic number. And no plaintiff - it's significant, I think that no plaintiff has testified that the requirements would be less burdensome if there was a cutoff age, such that the requirements applied only to some of their work and not all of it.

And in the universe -- the question of whether the plain legitimate sweep of the Statute also has to take into account the relative burdens of having a universal requirement, versus trying to introduce the subjectivity that would be involved if you try to establish a cutoff age based on the appearance of how someone looks when you take their picture.

Is it really easier, or less burdensome to try to figure out in every instance whether someone is a certain age, such as 25, and you -- we heard Agent Lawrence testify that having a cutoff date like that would actually do nothing, other than lead to case-by-case disputes, and make the whole process he -- as he put it, gum the whole process up.

Because you would constantly be having this question about, does the picture make someone look a certain age? Do I think that person is a certain age? Well will the inspectors think that that person is that age? So it introduces numerous levels of complexity and subjectivity into the entire process.

What we have now, and what other Courts have

recognized as effective is a universal requirement. All that is required is that you check the ID of everyone. And why -- why introduce additional difficulties and burdens into that regulatory scheme that is something clear people, can follow it.

There's no -- but I think, while the important point there is that substantial overbreadth, the categories that the Third Circuit identified do not rule out, or do not entirely address what the plainly legitimate sweep is, because if universal age verification is reasonable, as it is, that means that the everything encompassed within that universal age verification is within the plainly legitimate sweep.

Going to the private issue. The Third Circuit's question on the private issue. The point to make there is that plaintiffs have introduced, have tried to encompass within their category of private communications, things that do not -- that should be subject to the requirements, because they are not truly private, and they are not -- there's no evidence about what percentage of that material is even non-commercial.

All of these dating websites, all of these social networking sites, those are locations where publicly available images are posted to the internet. And when something is posted to the internet, our position is that that is not private. That is exactly why the requirements legitimately

1 apply.

And the -- again, should try --

THE COURT: Yes. But does that apply to a mobile communication? And, you know, a Face Time between a husband and wife or --

MS. WYER: Well that is -- I think that is not -- I mean, this is purely hearsay --

THE COURT: I mean, I understand your argument about the --

MS. WYER: -- evidence --

THE COURT: -- internet, and that has some logic to it. But what do you do about -- look, this whole -- what the plaintiffs call sexting, or even just a message, a mobile message between a husband and a wife. That technology did not exist when the Statute was passed, or I think the regulations. Now what do I do with the plaintiffs' argument about that?

MS. WYER: About that, I think the answer is to wait for an as applied challenge from someone who is seeking to engage in sexting, and feels -- has some claim to feel chilled.

Again, I think this falls within the situation where there's no evidence of any chill. There's no -- there's no reliable quantification of that activity.

We don't have any -- we have not seen a single sext in this case. The definitions of the things that Drouin and

Zimmerman were trying to measure included cleavage. Cleavage is not even subject to the 2257 requirements. And for all we know all the images -
THE COURT: We had some of the actual witnesses, I think, testify to, you know, their sending cell phone messages. Am I wrong about that?

MS. WYER: I don't recall that happening.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. WYER: I don't recall anything like that.

THE COURT: Then I'll make a question mark about that. All right, go ahead.

MS. WYER: Even if one -- even if all of the plaintiffs testified, that's 12 individuals, that doesn't show a prevalence of that activity.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to talk about -you've got five minutes. Do you want to talk about the Fourth
Amendment, briefly?

MS. WYER: The Fourth -- with respect to the Fourth Amendment, the ripeness issue is a significant issue on that claim because --

THE COURT: So you think that's alive, even though I denied your motion to dismiss?

MS. WYER: Yes. Because Your Honor said at the time that you were denying it when we had the hearing on the issue, that you would be willing -- that you would revisit that issue

later, and I think now is later. So that issue is ripe, so to speak, for a decision at this point.

And the plaintiffs' burden from that stage, that was the motion to dismiss stage. At the trial stage, their burden to establish ripeness and standing is greater at this stage of the case. But --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. WYER: -- the photographs that occurred in the past, there are material differences to even if those inspections provide a -- actually context for what the situation was in 2006 and 2007. Now we have -- digital technology has advanced so much since that time, the scope of the requirements has changed. Now it applies to lascivious exhibition of the genitals.

In 2007, it did not. And so that brings in a whole realm of new images. If the -- the number of producers is far greater than what the FBI thought when it had 300 producers in its database, by the end of that time it was looking into the prospect of trying to monitor over a million. And, at this point, it could be even greater.

So what we did see in those inspections were inklings of how an inspection program might -- might vary in the future. We have, even then when it was not really allowed, the agents were not -- did not have a problem with third party custodians. Now that is an established thing that

the regulations allow. And there's no evidence in the record on how many producers use that.

We do know that David Conners uses a third-party custodian. We know that Marie Levine uses a third-party custodian. There -- the digital technology, in the Pure Play inspection that occurred, that -- that's from the producer has simply downloaded all of its records onto a CD and gave it to the agents and they took it back to their office to review.

When -- now in 2013, or the future when they are looking at how to implement a new inspection program, if that ever happens, how do we know? It's pure speculation as Your Honor has recognized during the agent's questioning.

It's pure speculation that the FBI -- whether the FBI would establish as the way things would happen, that every time the records are on digital form, just give me a CD with those records.

And Agent Joyner -- what we do know from the inspections that -- that the evidence shows that the agents were completely accommodating to the producers at every step. They did not -- they sat where they were told to sit. They, if the -- they waited on the threshold of the residence until they were invited in.

Whenever they could not find a producer, they did not hold anyone to an arbitrary 20 hour per week, you have to be present, and otherwise you're -- we're going to write it up

Colloguy 175

as a violation. Instead on the occasions when they could not locate the producer, they made every effort to make arrangements.

They gave advance notice when the situation required. And there's no basis given those facts and the very limited nature of the inspections where the -- really the only object of those inspections were the 2257 records themselves. And Your Honor's holding in the first round of the case that there is no expectation of privacy in those records, has continued to be valid and nothing in the Third Circuit's opinion questioned that holding.

THE COURT: Okay. I think you're done. You have one more sentence?

MS. WYER: Well in sum, Your Honor, we ask the Court to uphold the validity of --

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I want to mention one issue, and this is -- and I may not be stating this in the exact proper way. What we faced here with a Statute and with regulations. Now I know -- I think I know how the Supreme Court has stated a Court should review a Statute.

And also how it should review regulations. And as far as regulations, we have a very recent case, <u>Arlington</u>, <u>Texas v. The FCC</u>. Which I've read quickly.

So one of the things you may want to address in your brief, because the regulations, in my mind, have more to do

Colloguy 176

with the Fourth Amendment then the First Amendment, is whether there's any different standard of review, or construction, or deference, or anything like that.

I would welcome some at least discussion, or stating your view about that issue. Okay? All right. I want to thank all of you for being very diligent, and very well-prepared. You have each represented your clients very well.

This is a fascinating case. It's an important case, I think. And it's a case that obviously we have spent a lot of time and energy on, but I think that's what we're here to do.

So I'll look for your briefs. We'll look for the opening briefs on Friday, June 28th. And we'll look for the reply -- I would add that, if you can get them filed, say, you know, like by three or 4:00 that day, or even earlier, that would be welcome, rather then midnight.

But we'll take them when we get them. And then the reply brief is limited, as I've indicated, will be due on Friday, July 5th. Okay? All right. So thank you very, very much. All right. We're in recess, and I'll be back in ten minutes for the criminal case.

(Proceedings concluded at 2:30 p.m.)